arXiv:1609.08679v1 [math.OC] 27 Sep 2016

NONLOCAL STABILIZATION OF THE NORMAL EQUATION CONNECTED WITH HELMHOLTZ SYSTEM BY STARTING CONTROL

A. V. FURSIKOV AND L. S. SHATINA

Abstract. We consider the semilinear parabolic equation of normal type connected with the 3D Helmholtz equation with periodic boundary condition. The problem of stabilization to zero of the solution for normal parabolic equation with arbitrary initial condition by starting control is studied. This problem is reduced to establishing three inequalities connected with starting control, one of which has been proved in [9], [14]. The proof for the other two is given here.

1. Introduction

This work is devoted to the construction of the nonlocal stabilization theory by starting control for the equations of normal type connected with thee-dimensional Helmholtz system, describing the curl ω of the velocity vector field v for the viscous incompressible fluid flow. As well-known velocity vector field v is described with Navier-Stokes system. Up to now there exists extensive literature on the local stabilization of Navier-Stokes system in the neighborhood of a stationary point (see for example, [10], [1], [16], [11] , as well as literature, listed in the review [13]) but construction of its nonlocal analog has not been started yet. Note that for some equations of fluid dynamics there are certain nonlocal stabilization results: for Burgers equation where exact formula of its solution was used (see [15]), and for Euler equations (see [2],[3]) where the construction is based on such properties of its solutions which Navier-Stokes system does not possess. We have to note also that nonlocal exact controllability of the Navier-Stokes system by distributed control supported in sub domain has been proved in [4] for 2D case and in [12] for 3D case. Since settings of exact controllability and stabilization problems are related in some sense, this give us the hope that nonlocal stabilization problem can be solved.

So our the main goal is to construct nonlocal stabilization theory by feedback control for Navier-Stokes system, and, as we hope, the problem we solve in this work is some essential part in achieving of this goal. As was mentioned above in this work we have deal with semilinear normal parabolic equations (NPE). Such equations have been introduced and studied in [5]-[8] to understand better the dynamical structure for hydrodynamical equations of Navier-Stokes type and first of all for Helmholtz equations.

Let us explain how NPE arise and recall their definition. As well-known the existence proof of weak solutions v to Navier-Stokes equations is based on energy estimate for fluid velocity v. However similar existence proof of a strong solution (proven to be unique) is impeded because the solution of Helmholtz equation $\omega =$

Key words and phrases. equations of normal type, stabilization by starting control.

curl$v$ does not satisfy the energy estimate (see details below, in section 3). [1] The latter is due to the fact that the image $B(\omega)$ of the nonlinear operator B, generated by non-linear members of Helmholtz equation, is not orthogonal to vector ω, i.e. it contains component $\Phi(\omega)\omega$, collinear to ω (here $\Phi(\omega)$ is a certain functional).

If the non-linear members of Helmholtz system that define $B(\omega)$ are substituted by members defining $\Phi(\omega)\omega$, then the resulting system of equations is called (by definition) a normal parabolic equation (NPE), corresponding to Helmholtz system.

Note that everywhere in this paper we consider the solutions $y(t,x)$ of NPE that satisfy periodic boundary conditions on spatial variables $x=(x_1,x_2,x_3)$. In other words we look for solution $y(t,x)$ of NPE for $x\in\mathbb{T}^3$ where $\mathbb{T}^3=(\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})^3$ is 3D torus. In [5]-[8] the structure of dynamics generated by NPE corresponding to Burgers equation as well as to 3D Helmholtz system was described. In particular it was established that in dependence on initial condition the solution $y(t,x)$ of NPE either tends to zero or to infinity as $t\to\infty$, or it blows up, i.e. $\|y(t,\cdot)\|\to\infty$ as $t\to t_0\neq\infty$. This result makes reasonable the following setting of non local stabilization problem by starting control for NPE corresponding to 3D Helmholtz system.

Let $0<a_j<b_j<2\pi, j=1,2,3$ are fixed. Given divergence free initial condition $y_0(x)\in L_2(\mathbb{T}^3)$ for NPE connected with 3D Helmholtz system (NPEH), find divergence free starting control $u_0(x)\in L_2(\mathbb{T}^3)$ supported in $[a_1,b_1]\times[a_2,b_2]\times[a_3,b_3]\subset\mathbb{T}^3$ such that the solution $y(t,x)$ of NPEH with initial condition y_0+u_0 satisfies the inequality

$$\|y(t,\cdot)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\le\alpha\|y_o+u_0\|_{L_2(\mathbb{T}^3)}e^{-t}\quad\forall t>0 \tag{1.1}$$

with some $\alpha>1$.

Just the problem formulated above has been solved in this paper. Namely, we proved that the NPEH with arbitrary initial condition y_0 can be stabilized by starting control in the form

$$u(x)=Fy_0+\lambda u_0(x), \tag{1.2}$$

where Fy_0 is a certain feedback control with feedback operator F constructed by some technic of local stabilization theory (see [10], [11]), λ is a constant, depending on y_0, and u_0 is a universal function, depending only on a given arbitrary parallelepiped $[a_1,b_1]\times[a_2,b_2]\times[a_3,b_3]\subset\mathbb{T}^3$, which contains the support of control u_0. The following estimate is essential for the proof of the stabilization result:

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}((\mathbf{S}(t,x;u),\nabla)\,\mathrm{rot}^{-1}\,\mathbf{S}(t,x;u),\mathbf{S}(t,x;u))dx>\beta e^{-18t}\qquad\forall\, t\ge 0 \tag{1.3}$$

where $S(t,x;u_0)$ is the solution of the Stokes equation with initial condition u_0 and $\beta>0$ is some constant.

We should note that the proof of the estimate (1.3) is very complicate, and the largest part of the paper is devoted just to this proof. Before investigation of nonlocal stabilization of NPEH we have studied analogous problem for NPE connected with 1D Burgers equation (see [9],[14]). The most difficult part of that works was to prove some analog of the bound (1.3). It is complicate but essentially easier than (1.3) because it is estimate for one-dimensional integral. The first very essential step for inequality (1.3) proof was reducing of this inequality to several

[1] In two-dimensional case ω satisfies the energy estimate, which allowed V.I. Yudovitch [18] to prove the existence of a strong solution even for Euler equations of ideal incompressible liquid.

estimates of similar kind but for one-dimensional integrals. To prove these bounds with one-dimensional integrals we used some development of the technic that has been worked out in [9], [14].

In the section 2 we remind the definitions and some facts concerning NPE connected with 3D Helmholtz system, section 3 is devoted to formulation of the main stabilization result and result connected with the estimate (1.3). Besides, in subsection 3.3 we construct feedback operator F from (1.2), and at last in subsection 3.4 we derive the main nonlocal stabilization result from the bound (1.3). The rest part of the paper i.e. sections 4-7 are devoted to the proof of the estimate ((1.3)).

In conclusion let us note that we hope to use results obtained in this paper in order to construct the nonlocal stabilization theory of Navier-Stokes and Helmholtz systems by impulse, or distributed, or boundary controls. We think that these results and technics of local stabilization theory will be enough to do this.

2. Semilinear parabolic equation of normal type

In this section we recall basic information on parabolic equations of normal type corresponding to 3D Navier-Stokes system: their derivation, explicit formula for solutions, theorem on existence and uniqueness of solution for normal parabolic equations, the structure of their dynamics. These results have been obtained in [5]-[8]

2.1. **Navier-Stokes equations.** Let us consider 3D Navier-Stokes system

$$\partial_t v(t,x) - \Delta v(t,x) + (v,\nabla)v + \nabla p(t,x) = 0,\ \mathrm{div}\, v = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

with periodic boundary conditions

$$v(t,...,x_i,...) = v(t,...,x_i+2\pi,...),\ i=1,2,3 \tag{2.2}$$

and initial condition

$$v(t,x)|_{t=0} = v_0(x) \tag{2.3}$$

where $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $x = (x_1,x_2,x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $v(t,x) = (v_1,v_2,v_3)$ is the velocity vector field of fluid flow, ∇p is the gradient of pressure, Δ is the Laplace operator, $(v, \nabla)v = \sum_{j=1}^3 v_j \partial_{x_j} v$. Periodic boundary conditions (2.2) mean that Navier-Stokes eqautions (2.1) and initial conditions (2.3) are defined on torus $\mathbb{T}^3 = (\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})^3$.

For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ = \{j \in \mathbb{Z} : j \geq 0\}$ we define the space

$$V^m = V^m(\mathbb{T}^3) = \{v(x) \in (H^m(\mathbb{T})^3)^3 : \mathrm{div} v = 0, \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} v(x)dx = 0\} \tag{2.4}$$

where $H^m(\mathbb{T}^3)$ is the Sobolev space.

It is well-known, that the non-linear term $(v,\nabla)v$ in problem (2.1)-(2.3) satisfies relation

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (v(t,x),\nabla)v(t,x)\cdot v(t,x)dx = 0$$

.

Therefore, multiplying (2.1) scalarly by v in $L_2(\mathbb{T}^3)$, integrating by parts by x, and then integrating by t, we obtain the well-known energy estimate

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |v(t,x)|^2 dx + 2\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\nabla_x v(\tau,x)|^2 dx d\tau \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |v_0(x)|^2 dx, \tag{2.5}$$

which allows to prove the existence of weak solution for (2.1)-(2.3). But, as is well-known, scalar multiplication of (2.1) by v in $V^1(\mathbb{T}^3)$ does not result into an analog of estimate (2.5).

2.2. **Helmholtz equations.** Let us derive from the problem (2.1)-(2.3) for fluid velocity v the similar problem for the curl of velocity:

$$\omega(t,x) = \operatorname{curl} v(t,x) = (\partial_{x_2} v_3 - \partial_{x_3} v_1, \partial_{x_3} v_1 - \partial_{x_1} v_3, \partial_{x_1} v_2 - \partial_{x_2} v_1) \tag{2.6}$$

It is well-known from vector analysis, that

$$(v,\nabla)v = \omega \times v + \nabla \frac{|v|^2}{2}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\operatorname{curl}(\omega \times v) = (v,\nabla)\omega - (\omega,\nabla)v, \text{ if } \operatorname{div} v = 0, \operatorname{div} \omega = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

where $\omega \times v = (\omega_2 v_3 - \omega_3 v_1, \omega_3 v_1 - \omega_1 v_3, \omega_1 v_2 - \omega_2 v_1)$ is the vector product of ω and v, and $|v|^2 = v_1^2 + v_2^2 + v_3^2$. Substituting (2.7) into (2.1) and applying curl operator to both sides of the obtained equation, taking into account (2.6), (2.8) and formula $\operatorname{curl} \nabla F = 0$, we obtain the Helmholtz equations

$$\partial_t \omega(t,x) - \Delta\omega + (v,\nabla)\omega - (\omega,\nabla)v = 0 \tag{2.9}$$

with initial conditions

$$\omega(t,x)|_{t=0} = \omega_0(x) := \operatorname{curl} v_0(x), \tag{2.10}$$

and periodic boundary condition.

2.3. **Derivation of Normal Parabolic Equations (NPE).** Using decomposition into Fourier series

$$v(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \hat{v}(k) e^{i(k,x)}, \ \hat{v}(k) = (2\pi)^{-3} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} v(x) e^{-i(k,x)} dx, \tag{2.11}$$

where $(k,x) = k_1 \cdot x_1 + k_2 \cdot x_2 + k_3 \cdot x_3$, $k = (k_1, k_2, k_3)$, and the well-known formula $\operatorname{curl}\operatorname{curl} v = -\Delta v$, if $\operatorname{div} v = 0$, we see that inverse operator to curl is well-defined on space V^m and is given by formula

$$\operatorname{curl}^{-1} \omega(x) = i \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \frac{k \times \hat{\omega}(k)}{|k|^2} e^{i(k,x)}. \tag{2.12}$$

Therefore, operator $\operatorname{curl} : V^1 \mapsto V^0$ realizes isomorphism of the spaces, thus, a sphere in V^1 for (2.1)-(2.3) is equivalent to a sphere in V^0 for (2.9)-(2.10).

Let us denote the non-linear term in Helmholtz system by B:

$$B(\omega) = (v,\nabla)\omega - (\omega,\nabla)v, \tag{2.13}$$

where v can be expressed in terms of ω using (2.12).

Multiplying (2.13) scalarly by $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$ and integrating by parts, we get expression

$$(B(\omega), \omega)_{V_0} = -\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \sum_{j,k=1}^{3} \omega_j \partial_j v_k \omega_k dx, \tag{2.14}$$

that, generally speaking, is not zero. Hence, energy estimate for solutions of 3-D Helmholtz system is not fulfilled. In other words, operator B allows decomposition

$$B(\omega) = B_n(\omega) + B_\tau(\omega), \tag{2.15}$$

where vector $B_n(\omega)$ is orthogonal to sphere $\Sigma(\|\omega\|_{V^0}) = \{u \in V^0 : \|u\|_{V^0} = \|\omega\|_{V^0}\}$ at the point ω, and vector B_τ is tangent to $\Sigma(\|\omega\|_{V^0})$ at ω. In general, both terms in

(2.15) are non-zero. Since the presence of B_n, and not B_τ, prevents the fulfillments of the energy estimate, it is plausible that just B_n generates the possible singularities in the solution. Therefore, it seems reasonable to omit the B_τ term in Helmholtz system and in the first steps of our investigation to study the system (2.9) with non-linear operator $B(\omega)$ replaced with $B_n(\omega)$. We will call the obtained system the system of normal parabolic equations (NPE).

Let us derive the NPE system corresponding to (2.9)-(2.10).

Since summand $(v, \nabla)\omega$ in (2.13) is tangential to vector ω, the normal part of operator B is defined by the summand $(\omega, \nabla)v$. We shall seek for it in the form $\Phi(\omega)\omega$, where Φ is the unknown functional, which can be found from equation

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \Phi(\omega)\omega(x) \cdot \omega(x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (\omega(x), \nabla)v(x) \cdot \omega(x)dx. \tag{2.16}$$

According to (2.16),

$$\Phi(\omega)\omega = \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (\omega(x), \nabla) \operatorname{curl}^{-1} \omega(x) \cdot \omega(x)dx / \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\omega(x)|^2 dx, & \omega \neq 0, \\ 0, & \omega \equiv 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.17}$$

where $\operatorname{curl}^{-1} \omega(x)$ is defined in (2.12).

Thus, we arrive at the following system of normal parabolic equations corresponding to Helmholtz equations (2.9):

$$\partial_t \omega(t, x) - \Delta\omega - \Phi(\omega)\omega = 0, \operatorname{div} \omega = 0, \tag{2.18}$$

$$\omega(t, ..., x_i, ...) = \omega(t, ..., x_i + 2\pi, ...), \; i = 1, 2, 3 \tag{2.19}$$

where Φ is the functional defined in (2.17)

Further we study problem (2.18), (2.19) with initial condition (2.10).

2.4. **Explicit formula for solution of NPE.** In this subsection we remind the explicit formula for NPE solution.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathbf{S}(t, x; \omega_0)$ be the solution of the following Stokes system with periodic boundary conditions:*

$$\partial_t z - \Delta z = 0; \tag{2.20}$$

$$z(t, ..., x_i + 2\pi, ...) = z(t, x), \quad i = 1, 2, 3; \tag{2.21}$$

$$z(0, x) = \omega_0, \tag{2.22}$$

i.e. $\mathbf{S}(t, x; \omega_0) = z(t, x)$. Then the solution of problem (2.18) *with periodic boundary conditions and initial condition* (2.10) *has the form*

$$\omega(t, x; \omega_0) = \frac{\mathbf{S}(t, x; \omega_0)}{1 - \int_0^t \Phi(\mathbf{S}(\tau, x; \omega_0))d\tau} \tag{2.23}$$

The proof of this Lemma one can see in [6], [8]. Note that in [6], [8] analytical and geometrical structure of sets M_-, M_+, M_g are studied. We do not formulate these results here because they will not be used below.

3. Stabilization of solution for NPE by starting control

3.1. **Formulation of the main result on stabilization.** We consider semilinear parabolic equations (2.18):

$$\partial_t y(t,x) - \Delta y(t,x) - \Phi(y)y = 0 \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\Phi(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(y(x),\nabla)\,\mathrm{rot}^{-1}\,y(x)\cdot y(x)dx}{\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}|y(x)|^2dx}, & y \neq 0 \\ 0, & y \equiv 0 \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

with periodic boundary condition

$$y(t,...x_i + 2\pi,...) = y(t,x),\ i = 1,2,3 \tag{3.3}$$

and initial condition

$$y(t,x)|_{t=0} = y_0(x) + u_0(x). \tag{3.4}$$

Here $y_0(x) \in V^0(\mathbb{T}^3)$ is an arbitrary given initial datum and $u_0(x) \in V^0(\mathbb{T}^3)$ is a control. Phase space V^0 is defined in (2.4).

We assume that $u_0(x)$ is supported on $[a_1,b_1]\times[a_2,b_2]\times[a_3,b_3] \subset \mathbb{T}^3 = (\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})^3$:

$$\mathrm{supp}\,u_0 \subset [a_1,b_1]\times[a_2,b_2]\times[a_3,b_3] \tag{3.5}$$

Our goal is to find for every given $y_0(x) \in H^0(\mathbb{T}^3)$ a control $u_0 \in H^0(\mathbb{T}^3)$ satisfying (3.5) such that there exists unique solution $y(t,x;y_0+u_0)$ of (3.1)-(3.4) and this solution satisfies the estimate

$$\|y(t,\cdot;y_0+u_0)\|_0 \leq \alpha\|y_0+u_0\|_0 e^{-t} \quad \forall t > 0 \tag{3.6}$$

with a certain $\alpha > 1$.

The following main theorem holds:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $y_0 \in V^0$ be given. Then there exists a control $u_0 \in V^0$ satisfying* (3.5) *such that there exists unique solution $y(t,x;y_0+u_0)$ of* (3.1)-(3.4)*, and this solution satisfies bound* (3.6) *with a certain $\alpha > 1$.*

The rest part of the paper is devoted to the proof of this theorem.

3.2. **Formulation of the main preliminary result.** To rewrite condition (3.5) in more convenient form let us first perform the change of variables in (3.1)-(3.4):

$\tilde{x}_i = x_i - \dfrac{a_i+b_i}{2}$, $i = 1,2,3$

and denote

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{y}(t,\tilde{x}) &= y\left(t,\tilde{x}_1+\frac{a_1+b_1}{2},\tilde{x}_2+\frac{a_2+b_2}{2},\tilde{x_3}+\frac{a_3+b_3}{2}\right), \\ \tilde{y_0}(\tilde{x}) &= y_0\left(\tilde{x}_1+\frac{a_1+b_1}{2},\tilde{x}_2+\frac{a_2+b_2}{2},\tilde{x}_3+\frac{a_3+b_3}{2}\right), \\ \tilde{u_0}(\tilde{x}) &= u_0\left(\tilde{x}_1+\frac{a_1+b_1}{2},\tilde{x}_2+\frac{a_2+b_2}{2},\tilde{x}_3+\frac{a_3+b_3}{2}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Then substituting (3.7) into relations (3.1)-(3.4), (3.6) and omitting the tilde sign leaves these relations unchanged, while inclusion (3.5) transforms into

$$\mathrm{supp}\,u_0 \subset \Omega,\ \Omega = [-\rho_1,\rho_1]\times[-\rho_2,\rho_2]\times[-\rho_3,\rho_3] \tag{3.8}$$

where $\rho_i = \dfrac{b_i-a_i}{2} \in (0,\pi)$, $i = 1,2,3$.

Below we consider stabilization problem (3.1)-(3.4), (3.6) with condition (3.8) instead of (3.5).

We look for a starting control $u_0(x)$ in a form

$$u_0(x) = u_1(x) - \lambda u(x) \tag{3.9}$$

where the component $u_1(x)$ and the constant $\lambda > 0$ will be defined later and the main component $u(x)$ is defined as follows. For given $\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 \in (0, \pi)$ we choose $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{\pi}{p} \le \rho_i,\ i = 1, 2, 3, \tag{3.10}$$

and denote by $\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\alpha)$ the characteristic function of interval $(-\frac{\pi}{p}, \frac{\pi}{p})$:

$$\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}} = \begin{cases} 1, & |\alpha| \le \frac{\pi}{p}, \\ 0, & \frac{\pi}{p} < |\alpha| \le \pi. \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

Then

$$u(x) = \operatorname{curl}\operatorname{curl}(\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(x_1)\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(x_2)\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(x_3)w(px_1, px_2, px_3), 0, 0), \tag{3.12}$$

where

$$w(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \sum_{\substack{i,j,k=1 \\ i<j, k\ne i,j}}^{3} a_k(1 + \cos x_k)(\sin x_i + \frac{1}{2}\sin 2x_i)(\sin x_j + \frac{1}{2}\sin 2x_j), \tag{3.13}$$

$a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let consider the boundary value problem for the Stokes system

$$\partial_t \mathbf{S}(t, x) - \Delta \mathbf{S}(t, x) = 0, \qquad \mathbf{S}(t, x)|_{t=0} = u(x) \tag{3.14}$$

with periodic boundary condition. (Since $\operatorname{div} u(x) = 0$, this Stokes system is equal to the system of three heat equations.)

The following theorem is true:

Theorem 3.2. *For each $\rho := \pi/p \in (0, \pi)$ the function $u(x)$ defined in* (3.12) *by a natural number p satisfying* (3.10) *and characteristic function* (3.11)*, satisfies conditions:*

$$u(x) \in V^0(\mathbb{T}^3), \qquad supp\, u \subset ([-\rho, \rho])^3 \tag{3.15}$$

and

$$\int_{T^3} ((\mathbf{S}(t, x; u), \nabla) \operatorname{rot}^{-1} \mathbf{S}(t, x; u), \mathbf{S}(t, x; u))dx > \beta e^{-18t} \qquad \forall\, t \ge 0 \tag{3.16}$$

with a positive constant β.

The proof of Theorem 3.2 is complicated and will be given further.

3.3. **Intermediate Control.** To avoid certain difficulties with the proof of Theorem 3.1, we propose to include additional control that eliminates some Fourier coefficients in given initial condition y_0 of our stabilization problem. We will use techniques developed in local stabilization theory (see [10], [11] and references therein).

Let us consider the following decomposition of the phase space: $V^0 = V_+ \oplus V_-$, where

$$V_+ = \{v(x) = \sum_{0<|k|^2<18} v_k e^{i(k,x)}, v_k \in \mathbb{R}\},\ \text{where } k = (k_1, k_2, k_3), |k|^2 = k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_3^2, \tag{3.17}$$

and

$$V_- = V^0 \ominus V_+. \tag{3.18}$$

Theorem 3.3. *For every $y \in V^0$ there exists a linear feedback operator F,*

$$F : V^0(\mathbb{T}^3) \mapsto V^1_{00}(\Omega) := \{y(x) \in V^1(\mathbb{T}^3) : supp\ y \subset \Omega\}, \tag{3.19}$$

where $\Omega = \{[-\rho, \rho] \times [-\rho, \rho] \times [-\rho, \rho]\} \subset \mathbb{T}^3$, $\rho = \pi/p, p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, such that

$$y + Fy \in V_- \quad , \tag{3.20}$$

where V_- is the subset of V^0 defined in (3.17)-(3.18)

Proof. Denote by $\mathrm{int}\{\Omega\}$ interior of the set Ω, i.e.

$$\mathrm{int}\{\Omega\} = \mathbb{T}^3 \setminus \overline{\{\mathbb{T}^3 \setminus \Omega\}}$$

where overline means the closure of the corresponding set. Let us consider the problem

$$-\Delta v(x) = f(x),\ x \in \mathrm{int}\{\Omega\}, \quad v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \tag{3.21}$$

and define operator R_Ω by the formula

$$(R_\Omega f)(x) = v(x),\ \text{for } x \in \Omega, \quad (R_\Omega f)(x) = 0,\ \text{for } x \in \mathbb{T}^3 \setminus \Omega \tag{3.22}$$

Let us show, that operator F defined as

$$Fy = \sum_{|j|^2<18} c_j(y) R_\Omega e^{i(j,\cdot)} \tag{3.23}$$

with suitable constants $c_j(y)$ satisfies (3.20).

For F defined in (3.23) relation (3.20) is equivalent to

$$-\hat{y}(k) = (2\pi)^{-3} \sum_{|j|^2<18} c_j(y) \int_\Omega (R_\Omega e^{i(j,\cdot)})(x) e^{-i(k,x)} dx,\ \forall k : |k|^2 < 18 \tag{3.24}$$

Using notations

$$\hat{y} = (\hat{y}(k), |k|^2 < 18), \quad c(y) = (c_j(y), |j|^2 < 18),$$

$$m_{k,j} = (2\pi)^{-3} \int_\Omega (R_\Omega e^{i(j,\cdot)})(x) e^{-i(k,x)} dx, \quad M = \|m_{k,j}\|_{|k|^2<18, |j|^2<18}$$

we can rewrite (3.24) as the following system of linear algebraic equations with respect to $c_j(y), 0 < |j|^2 < 18$:

$$Mc(y) = -\hat{y} \tag{3.25}$$

Let us show that matrix M is complex symmetric:

$$m_{k,j} = \int_\Omega (R_\Omega e^{i(j,\cdot)})(x) \overline{(-\Delta)(R_\Omega e^{i(k,\cdot))}(x)} dx =$$

$$\int_\Omega (-\Delta)(R_\Omega e^{i(j,\cdot)})(x) (R_\Omega e^{i(-k,\cdot))(x)} dx = \overline{m}_{j,k}$$

where overline means complex conjugation. To prove that $\det M \neq 0$ we will show first that M is positive definite.

Indeed, for each vector $c = (c_j, 0 < |j|^2 < 18)$

$$< Mc, c >= \sum_{j,k} m_{j,k} c_j \overline{c}_k = \sum_{j,k} c_j \overline{c}_k \int_\Omega (\nabla (R_\Omega e^{i(j,\cdot)})(x)) \cdot (\nabla \overline{(R_\Omega e^{i(k,\cdot))(x)}}) dx =$$

$$\int_\Omega |\sum_j ((R_\Omega j e^{i(j,\cdot)})(x)c_j)|^2 dx \geq 0$$

Equality here can be attained only if $\sum_j ((R_\Omega j e^{i(j,\cdot)})(x)c_j) = 0\ \forall x \in \Omega$,or, as it follows from definition (3.21), (3.22) of operator R_Ω, only if $\sum_j j e^{i(j,\cdot)})(x)c_j = 0\ \forall x \in \Omega$ The last equality implies that $c_j = 0$ for all $j : 0 < |j|^2 < 18$.

Thus, we have proved that $\det M \neq 0$. This means that we can find $c(v)$ from equation (3.25). This completes construction of operator F. □

We define component $u_1(x)$ from (3.9) as follows:

$$u_1(x) = (Fy_0)(x) \tag{3.26}$$

where F is feedback operator (3.19), (3.20).

3.4. **Proof of the stabilization result.** In this subsection we prove Theorem 3.1 using Theorems 3.2, 3.3. We take control (3.9) as a desired one where vector-functions $u_1(x), u(x)$ are defined in (3.26) and in (3.12), (3.13) correspondingly, and $\lambda \gg 1$ is a parameter.

In virtue of explicit formula (2.23) for solution of stabilization problem, in order to prove the desired result it is enough to choose parameter λ in such way that the function

$$1 - \int_0^t \Phi(\mathbf{S}(\tau, \cdot; y_0 + u_1 - \lambda u))d\tau \tag{3.27}$$

for each $t > 0$ is bounded from below by a positive constant independent of t. For this aim we estimate the function $-\Phi(\mathbf{S}(t, \cdot; z_0 - \lambda u))$ where $z_0 = y_0 + u_1 = y_0 + Fy_0$. In virtue of Theorem 3.3 $z_0 \in V_-$, where V_- is the subset of the phase space defined in (3.18), i.e. Fourier coefficients of z_0 satisfy condition

$$\hat{z}_0(k) = 0 \ \text{ for } \ |k|^2 = k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_3^2 < 18. \tag{3.28}$$

Let us denote the nominator of functional Φ defined in (3.2) as follows:

$$\Psi(y_1, y_2, y_3) = \int_{T^3} ((y_1, \nabla)\mathrm{curl}^{-1} y_2, y_3)dx, \quad \Psi(y) = \Psi(y, y, y) \tag{3.29}$$

and prove the estimate

$$-\Psi(\mathbf{S}(t, \cdot; z_0 - \lambda u)) > c_1 \lambda^3 e^{-18t} \quad \text{for} \lambda \gg 1, \tag{3.30}$$

where c_1 is some positive constant.

According to Theorem 3.2,

$$\Psi(\mathbf{S}(t, \cdot; u)) \geq \beta e^{-18t},\ \beta > 0. \tag{3.31}$$

From definition (3.29) of Ψ,

$$\begin{aligned} &-\Psi(\mathbf{S}(t, z_0 - \lambda u)) = \lambda^3 \Psi(\mathbf{S}(t, u)) - \lambda^2 (\Psi(\mathbf{S}(t, u), \mathbf{S}(t, u), \mathbf{S}(t, z_0)) + \\ &\Psi(\mathbf{S}(t, u), \mathbf{S}(t, z_0), \mathbf{S}(t, u)) + \Psi(\mathbf{S}(t, z_0), \mathbf{S}(t, u), \mathbf{S}(t, u))) + \\ &\lambda(\Psi(\mathbf{S}(t, u), \mathbf{S}(t, z_0), \mathbf{S}(t, z_0)) + \Psi(\mathbf{S}(t, z_0), \mathbf{S}(t, u), \mathbf{S}(t, z_0)) + \\ &\Psi(\mathbf{S}(t, z_0), \mathbf{S}(t, z_0), \mathbf{S}(t, u))) - \Psi(\mathbf{S}(t, z_0)) \end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

In virtue of Sobolev embedding theorem and definition (3.29) we get

$$|\Psi(y_1, y_2, y_3)| \leq \|y_1\|_{L_3(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|\nabla \mathrm{rot}^{-1} y_2\|_{L_3} \|y_3\|_{L_3} \leq c_2 \|y_1\|_{V^{1/2}} \|y_2\|_{V^{1/2}} \|y_3\|_{V^{1/2}} \tag{3.33}$$

Using this inequality and (3.31), (3.32) we get

$$-\Psi(\mathbf{S}(t,z_0-\lambda u))>\beta\lambda^3e^{-18t}-$$
$$c_2\left(\lambda^2\|\mathbf{S}(t,u)\|^2_{V^{1/2}}\|\mathbf{S}(t,z_0)\|_{V^{1/2}}+\lambda\|\mathbf{S}(t,u)\|_{V^{1/2}}\|\mathbf{S}(t,z_0)\|^2_{V^{1/2}}+\|\mathbf{S}(t,z_0)\|^3_{V^{1/2}}\right) \tag{3.34}$$

According to the definition (3.12), (3.13) of function u, all the Fourier coefficients of u corresponding to k with less than two non-zero components are equal to zero, so

$$\|\mathbf{S}(t,u)\|_{V^{1/2}}\le c_3e^{-2t} \tag{3.35}$$

In virtue of (3.28),

$$\|\mathbf{S}(t,z_0)\|_{V^{1/2}}\le c_4e^{-18t}. \tag{3.36}$$

Relations (3.34), (3.35), (3.36) imply

$$-\Psi(\mathbf{S}(t,z_0-\lambda u))>c\lambda^3e^{-18t}-c_2(\lambda^2e^{-22t}+\lambda e^{-38t}+e^{-54t}), \tag{3.37}$$

which completes the proof of estimate (3.30)

The denominator of $\Phi(\mathbf{S}(\tau,\cdot;z_0-\lambda u))$ can be estimated from below as follows:

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}|\mathbf{S}(t,z_0-\lambda u)|^2dx=\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}|\mathbf{S}(t,z_0)-\lambda\mathbf{S}(t,u)|^2dx\ge$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\left(\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2|\mathbf{S}(t,u)|^2-|\mathbf{S}(t,z_0)|^2\right)dx \tag{3.38}$$

According to (3.28), the second term in (3.38) can be estimated from above as

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}|\mathbf{S}(t,z_0)|^2dx\le\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^3,|k|^2\ge18}|\hat z_0(k)|^2\cdot e^{-18t}<\|y_0\|_0\cdot e^{-18t} \tag{3.39}$$

and the first term can be estimated from below as

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}|\mathbf{S}(t,u)|^2dx=\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}|\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^3}\hat u(k)e^{i(k,x)}\cdot e^{-|k|^2t}|^2dx=$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^3}\hat u(k)e^{i(k,x)}\cdot e^{-|k|^2t}\cdot\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^3}\hat u(m)e^{i(m,x)}\cdot e^{-|m|^2t}\right)dx=$$
$$(2\pi)^3\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^3}(\hat u(k)\cdot\hat u(-k))e^{-2|k|^2t}=(2\pi)^3\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^3}|\hat u(k)|^2e^{-2|k|^2t}\ge \tag{3.40}$$
$$8\pi^3(|\hat u(1,1,0)|^2+|\hat u(1,0,1)|^2+|\hat u(0,1,1)|^2)e^{-4t}.$$

So, finally, from (3.38)-(3.40) we get the following estimate for the denominator:

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}|\mathbf{S}(t,z_0-\lambda u)|^2dx>$$
$$e^{-4t}\cdot8\pi^3\lambda^2\left(|\hat u(1,1,0)|^2+|\hat u(1,0,1)|^2+|\hat u(0,1,1)|^2-\frac{\|z_0\|}{|\lambda|^2}\right)\ge \tag{3.41}$$
$$4\pi^3\lambda^2\cdot e^{-4t}(|\hat u(1,1,0)|^2+|\hat u(1,0,1)|^2+|\hat u(0,1,1)|^2)=:\gamma\cdot\lambda^2\cdot e^{-4t}$$

for $|\lambda|>\lambda_0=\dfrac{\sqrt{2\|y_0\|}}{\sqrt{|\hat u(1,1,0)|^2+|\hat u(1,0,1)|^2+|\hat u(0,1,1)|^2}}$. The last equality in (3.41) is the definition of $\gamma>0$.

It follows from (3.30) and (3.41), that

$$1-\int_0^t \Phi(\mathbf{S}(\tau,\cdot;z_0-\lambda u))d\tau > 1+\lambda\int_0^t \frac{c_1\cdot e^{-18\tau}}{\gamma e^{-4\tau}}d\tau = 1+\frac{\lambda c_0}{14\gamma}(1-e^{-14t}), \quad (3.42)$$

that completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

4. Proof of Theorem 3.2: the first step

In this section we begin the proof of Theorem 3.2.

4.1. **Reduction to the one-dimensional estimate.** Let us recall function u defined in (3.12):

$$u(x)=\operatorname{curl}\operatorname{curl}\left(\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(x_1)\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(x_2)\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(x_3)w(px_1,px_2,px_3),0,0\right), \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$w(x_1,x_2,x_3)=\sum_{\substack{i,j,k=1\\ i<j,k\neq i,j}}^{3} a_k(1+\cos x_k)(\sin x_i+\frac{1}{2}\sin 2x_i)(\sin x_j+\frac{1}{2}\sin 2x_j), \quad a_1,a_2,a_3\in\mathbb{R}. \quad (4.2)$$

and $\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(x)$ is the characteristic function of interval $[-\pi/p;\pi/p]$, $p\in\mathbb{N}$, defined in (3.11).

Our goal is to prove estimate

$$\int_{T^3}((\mathbf{S}(t,x;u),\nabla)\operatorname{curl}^{-1}\mathbf{S}(t,x;u),\mathbf{S}(t,x;u))dx > \beta e^{-18t} \qquad \forall\, t\geq 0 \quad (4.3)$$

with a positive constant β, where $\mathbf{S}(t,x;u)$ is the solution of Stokes system

$$\partial_t\mathbf{S}(t,x)-\Delta\mathbf{S}(t,x)=0, \qquad \mathbf{S}(t,x)|_{t=0}=u(x) \quad (4.4)$$

with periodic boundary condition.

As is well-known, the solution of system (4.4) is given by

$$\mathbf{S}(t,x;u)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^3\setminus\{0\}}\hat{u}(k)e^{i(k,x)}e^{-|k|^2t}, \quad (4.5)$$

where $\hat{u}(k)$ are the Fourier coefficients of function u:

$$u(x)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^3\setminus\{0\}}\hat{u}(k)e^{i(k,x)}. \quad (4.6)$$

It obviously follows from (4.5), (4.6), that

$$\partial_{x_i}\mathbf{S}(t,x;u)=\mathbf{S}(t,x;\partial_{x_i}u). \quad (4.7)$$

Let us denote by $S(t,x;\varphi(\xi))$ the solution of scalar heat equation with initial condition $S(t,x)|_{t=0}=\varphi(x)$, where φ is a scalar function of $x\in\mathbb{R}^3$. Further we will need the following lemmas:

Lemma 4.1. *Let $S(t,x;f_1(\xi_1)f_2(\xi_2)f_3(\xi_3))$ be the solution of the heat equation*

$$\partial_t S-\Delta S=0 \quad (4.8)$$

with periodic boundary condition

$$S(t,...,x_i+2\pi,...)=S(t,x) \quad (4.9)$$

and initial condition

$$S(t,x)|_{t=0} = f_1(x_1)f_2(x_2)f_3(x_3) \tag{4.10}$$

Then $S(t,x;f_1(\xi_1)f_2(\xi_2)f_3(\xi_3)) = S(t,x;f_1)S(t,x;f_2)S(t,x;f_2)$, *where* $S(t,x;f_i)$ *is the solution of problem*

$$\partial_t S - \partial_{xx} S = 0,\ S(t,x+2\pi) = S(t,x),\ S(t,x)|_{t=0} = f_i(x)$$

Proof. The statement of lemma follows directly from the well-known formula for the solution of the (4.8) with initial condition (4.10) and boundary condition $S(t,x)|_{t=0} = f(x)$:

$$S(t,x;f) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} G(t,x-\xi)f(\xi)d\xi, \tag{4.11}$$

where $G(t,y)$ is the Green function, defined as

$$G(t,y) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(2\sqrt{\pi t})^3} e^{-\frac{(y+2\pi k)^2}{4t}}. \tag{4.12}$$

□

Lemma 4.2. *Let* $S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}\varphi(p\xi))$ *be the solution of the heat equation*

$$\partial_t S - \partial_{xx} S = 0 \tag{4.13}$$

with periodic boundary condition

$$S(t,x+2\pi) = S(t,x) \tag{4.14}$$

and initial condition

$$S(t,x)|_{t=0} = \chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}\varphi(px) \tag{4.15}$$

where $\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}$ *is the characteristic function of interval* $[-\pi/p,\pi/p]$, *defined in* (3.11), $p\in\mathbb{N}$. *Then*

i) For all $t\geq 0$,

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}\varphi(p\xi))dx = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(0,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}\varphi(p\xi))dx = const; \tag{4.16}$$

ii) If function $\varphi(x)\in C^1[-\pi,\pi]$, *and* $\varphi(\pm\pi)=0$, *then*

$$\partial_x S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}\varphi(p\xi)) = pS(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}\varphi'(p\xi)), \tag{4.17}$$

iii) If function $\varphi(x)\in C^2[-\pi,\pi]$, $\varphi(\pm\pi)=0$ *and* $\varphi'(\pm\pi)=0$ *then*

$$\partial_t S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}\varphi(p\xi)) = p^2 S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}\varphi''(p\xi)). \tag{4.18}$$

Proof. As is well known, the solution of the (4.13) with initial condition (4.15) and boundary condition (4.14) is given by formula

$$S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}\varphi(p\xi)) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} G(t,x-\xi)\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}\varphi(p\xi)d\xi, \tag{4.19}$$

where $G(t,y)$ is the Green function, defined as

$$G(t,y) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} e^{-\frac{(y+2\pi k)^2}{4t}}. \tag{4.20}$$

i) Since $S(t,x;\varphi(p\xi))$ is the solution of the heat equation (4.13) with boundary condition (4.14), the following equality is true:

$$\partial_t \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}\varphi(p\xi))dx = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \partial_t S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}\varphi(p\xi))dx = \\ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \partial_{xx} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}\varphi(p\xi))dx = \partial_x S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}\varphi(p\xi))\Big|_{x=-\pi}^{\pi} = 0. \tag{4.21}$$

Therefore, $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}\varphi(p\xi))dx = const$

iii) From (4.19), integrating by parts twice with consideration of $\varphi(\pm\pi) = 0$ we get that

$$\partial_x S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}\varphi(p\xi)) = \int_{-\frac{\pi}{p}}^{\frac{\pi}{p}} \partial_x G(t,x-\xi)\varphi(p\xi)d\xi = \\ -\int_{-\frac{\pi}{p}}^{\frac{\pi}{p}} \partial_\xi G(t,x-\xi)\varphi(p\xi)d\xi = p\int_{-\frac{\pi}{p}}^{\frac{\pi}{p}} G(t,x-\xi)\varphi'(p\xi)d\xi = pS(t,x;\varphi'(p\xi)).$$

iii) From (4.13) and (4.19), integrating by parts twice with consideration of $\varphi(\pm\pi) = 0$ and $\varphi'(\pm\pi) = 0$ we get that

$$\partial_t S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}\varphi(p\xi)) = \partial_{xx} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}\varphi(p\xi)) = \int_{-\frac{\pi}{p}}^{\frac{\pi}{p}} \partial_{xx} G(t,x-\xi)\varphi(p\xi)d\xi = \\ \int_{-\frac{\pi}{p}}^{\frac{\pi}{p}} \partial_{\xi\xi} G(t,x-\xi)\varphi(p\xi)d\xi = p^2\int_{-\frac{\pi}{p}}^{\frac{\pi}{p}} G(t,x-\xi)\varphi''(p\xi)d\xi = p^2 S(t,x;\varphi''(p\xi)).$$

□

Now, let us return to the expression in the left hand side of (4.3). It can be written as

$$\int_{T^3} ((\mathbf{S}(t,x;u),\nabla)\,\mathrm{curl}^{-1}\,\mathbf{S}(t,x;u),\mathbf{S}(t,x;u))dx = \\ p^6\int_{T^3} \left(S^2(t,x;\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\frac{\pi}{p}}\partial_{12}w(p\xi)) - S^2(t,x;\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\frac{\pi}{p}}\partial_{13}w(p\xi)\right) S(t,x;\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\frac{\pi}{p}}\partial_{23}w(p\xi))+ \\ S(t,x;\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\frac{\pi}{p}}\partial_{12}w(p\xi))S(t,x;\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\frac{\pi}{p}}\partial_{13}w(p\xi))\cdot \\ \left(S(t,x;\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\frac{\pi}{p}}\partial_{33}w(p\xi)) - S(t,x;\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\frac{\pi}{p}}\partial_{22}w(p\xi))\right)dx, \tag{4.22}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\frac{\pi}{p}} = \chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(x_1)\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(x_2)\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(x_3)$.

Differentiating function w, defined in (3.13), we obtain

$$\partial_{ij}w = -a_i\sin x_i(\cos x_j + \cos 2x_j)(\sin x_k + \frac{1}{2}\sin 2x_k) - \\ a_j\sin x_j(\cos x_i + \cos 2x_i)(\sin x_k + \frac{1}{2}\sin 2x_k) + \\ a_k(1+\cos x_k)(\cos x_i + \cos 2x_i)(\cos x_j + \cos 2x_j),\ i<j, k\neq i,j, \tag{4.23}$$

$$\partial_{ii}w = -\,a_i\cos x_i(\sin x_j+\frac{1}{2}\sin 2x_j)(\sin x_k+\frac{1}{2}\sin 2x_k)-$$
$$a_j(1+\cos x_j)(\sin x_i+2\sin 2x_i)(\sin x_k+\frac{1}{2}\sin 2x_k)- \tag{4.24}$$
$$a_k(1+\cos x_k)(\sin x_i+2\sin 2x_i)(\sin x_j+\frac{1}{2}\sin 2x_j),\ i\neq j,k,j<k.$$

Let us introduce the following notation:

$$A_{ijk} := A(x_i,x_j,x_k) =$$
$$S(t,x;a_k\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(1+\cos x_k)(\cos x_i+\cos 2x_i)(\cos x_j+\cos 2x_j)); \tag{4.25}$$
$$B_{ijk} := B(x_i,x_j,x_k) =$$
$$S(t,x;-a_i\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\frac{\pi}{p}}\sin x_i(\cos x_j+\cos 2x_j)(\sin x_k+\tfrac{1}{2}\sin 2x_k)); \tag{4.26}$$
$$C_{ijk} := C(x_i,x_j,x_k) =$$
$$S(t,x;-a_i\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\frac{\pi}{p}}\cos x_i(\sin x_j+\tfrac{1}{2}\sin 2x_j)(\sin x_k+\tfrac{1}{2}\sin 2x_k)); \tag{4.27}$$
$$D_{ijk} := D(x_i,x_j,x_k) =$$
$$S(t,x;-a_j\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(1+\cos x_j)(\sin x_i+2\sin 2x_i)(\sin x_k+\tfrac{1}{2}\sin 2x_k)); \tag{4.28}$$

Then

$$S(t,x;\partial_{ij}w) = A_{ijk}+B_{ijk}+B_{jik}, i,j,k=1,2,3, i<j, k\neq i,j; \tag{4.29}$$
$$S(t,x;\partial_{ii}w) = C_{ijk}+D_{ijk}+D_{ikj}, i=2,3, j,k=1,2,3, i\neq j,k, j<k. \tag{4.30}$$

Let us consider the term $\int_{T^3} S(t,x;\partial_{23}w)(S(t,x;\partial_{12}w))^2dx$ in (4.22):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{T^3} S(t,x;\partial_{23}w)(S(t,x;\partial_{12}w))^2dx =\\
&\int_{T^3}(A_{231}+B_{231}+B_{321})\left(A_{123}^2+B_{123}^2+B_{213}^2+\right.\\
&\quad\left.2A_{123}B_{123}+2A_{123}B_{213}+2B_{123}B_{213}\right)dx =\\
&\int_{T^3}\Big(\underline{A_{231}A_{123}^2}+\underline{A_{231}B_{123}^2}+\underline{A_{231}B_{213}^2}+\\
&\quad 2A_{231}A_{123}B_{123}+2A_{231}A_{123}B_{213}+2A_{231}B_{123}B_{213}+\\
&\quad B_{231}A_{123}^2+B_{231}B_{123}^2+B_{231}B_{213}^2+\\
&\quad 2B_{231}A_{123}B_{123}+2B_{231}A_{123}B_{213}+\underline{2B_{231}B_{123}B_{213}}+\\
&\quad B_{321}A_{123}^2+B_{321}B_{123}^2+B_{321}B_{213}^2+\\
&\quad \underline{2B_{321}A_{123}B_{123}}+2B_{321}A_{123}B_{213}+2B_{321}B_{123}B_{213}\Big)dx
\end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

Since $A_{123}=A(x_1,x_2,x_3)$ is even as function of all variables, $B_{123}=B(x_1,x_2,x_3)$ is an even function of x_2 and an odd function of x_1 and x_3, and integral of an odd function over symmetrical interval is equal to zero, the integrals of all summands except for the underlined ones in (4.31) are equal to zero. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{T^3} S(t,x;\partial_{23}w)S^2(t,x;\partial_{12}w)dx =\\
&\int_{T^3}\left(A_{231}A_{123}^2+A_{231}B_{123}^2+A_{231}B_{213}^2+2B_{231}B_{123}B_{213}+2B_{321}A_{123}B_{123}\right)dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.32}$$

Similarly, we get the following expressions for the summands in (4.22):

$$\int_{T^3} S(t,x;\partial_{23}w)S^2(t,x;\partial_{13}w)dx = \int_{T^3} \left(A_{231}A_{132}^2 + A_{231}B_{132}^2 + A_{231}B_{312}^2 + 2B_{231}A_{132}B_{132} + 2B_{321}B_{132}B_{312}\right) dx, \tag{4.33}$$

$$\int_{T^3} S(t,x;\partial_{12}w)S(t,x;\partial_{13}w)S(t,x;\partial_{33}w)wdx = \int_{T^3} (A_{123}B_{132}C_{312} + A_{123}B_{312}D_{312} + B_{123}A_{132}D_{321} + B_{123}B_{132}D_{312} + B_{123}B_{312}C_{312} + B_{213}A_{132}D_{312} + B_{213}B_{132}D_{321})\, dx \tag{4.34}$$

$$\int_{T^3} S(t,x;\partial_{12}w)S(t,x;\partial_{13}w)S(t,x;\partial_{22}w)dx = \int_{T^3} (A_{123}B_{132}D_{213} + A_{123}B_{312}D_{213} + B_{123}A_{132}C_{213} + B_{123}B_{132}D_{213} + B_{123}B_{312}D_{231} + B_{213}A_{132}D_{213} + B_{213}B_{132}C_{213})\, dx \tag{4.35}$$

Summing up (4.32), (4.33), (4.34) and (4.35), we get the following expression for (4.22):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{T^3} ((\mathbf{S}(t,x;u),\nabla)\operatorname{curl}^{-1}\mathbf{S}(t,x;u),\mathbf{S}(t,x;u))dx = \\
&\int_{T^3} \left(A_{231}A_{123}^2 + A_{231}B_{123}^2 + A_{231}B_{213}^2 + 2B_{231}B_{123}B_{213} + 2B_{321}A_{123}B_{123}\right) dx - \\
&\int_{T^3} \left(A_{231}A_{132}^2 + A_{231}B_{132}^2 + A_{231}B_{312}^2 + 2B_{231}A_{132}B_{132} + 2B_{321}B_{132}B_{312}\right) dx + \\
&\int_{T^3} (A_{123}B_{132}C_{312} + A_{123}B_{312}D_{312} + B_{123}A_{132}D_{321} + B_{123}B_{132}D_{312} + \\
&\qquad B_{123}B_{312}C_{312} + B_{213}A_{132}D_{312} + B_{213}B_{132}D_{321})\, dx - \\
&\int_{T^3} (A_{123}B_{132}D_{213} + A_{123}B_{312}D_{213} + B_{123}A_{132}C_{213} + B_{123}B_{132}D_{213} + \\
&\qquad B_{123}B_{312}D_{231} + B_{213}A_{132}D_{213} + B_{213}B_{132}C_{213})\, dx
\end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

Moreover, since, according to Lemmas 4.1 and 4.17,

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi))S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi + \frac{1}{2}\sin 2p\xi))dx = \frac{1}{3}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\left(S^3(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi + \frac{1}{2}\sin 2p\xi))\right) = 0,$$

all summands in (4.36) containing such a multiplier are equal to zero. Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}_3} A_{ijk}B_{ikj}^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}_3} A_{ijk}B_{kij}^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}_3} A_{ijk}B_{jki}^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}_3} A_{ijk}B_{kji}^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}_3} B_{ijk}B_{ikj}B_{kij}dx = 0,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}_3} A_{ijk}B_{ikj}C_{kij}dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}_3} A_{ijk}B_{kij}D_{kij}dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}_3} B_{ijk}B_{ikj}D_{jik}dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}_3} B_{ijk}B_{jki}C_{ijk}dx = 0.$$

Therefore, finally

$$\begin{gathered}\int_{T^3} ((\mathbf{S}(t,x;u),\nabla)\operatorname{curl}^{-1}\mathbf{S}(t,x;u),\mathbf{S}(t,x;u))dx = \\ \frac{5}{4}(a_3^2a_1 - a_2^2a_1)J_1(t)J_3(t)\left(J_2(t)+J_4(t)\right),\end{gathered} \tag{4.37}$$

where

$$J_1(t) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(1+\cos p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi+\cos 2p\xi))dx \tag{4.38}$$

$$J_2(t) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(1+\cos p\xi))S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi+\cos 2p\xi))dx \tag{4.39}$$

$$J_3(t) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S^3(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi+\cos 2p\xi))dx \tag{4.40}$$

$$J_4(t) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi+\cos 2p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi+\frac{1}{2}\sin 2p\xi))dx \tag{4.41}$$

and $S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}\varphi(p\xi))$ is the solution of heat equation (4.13) with periodic boundary condition and initial condition $S(t,x)|_{t=0} = \chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}\varphi(p\xi)$.

Previously in [9], [14] it was established, that

$$J_3(t) > C_3 \cdot e^{-6t}, \tag{4.42}$$

where C_3 is a positive constant.

Our goal is to prove analogous estimates for $J_1(t)$ and $J_2(t)+J_4(t)$.

5. Estimate for $J_1(t)$

In this section we shall prove the following

Theorem 5.1. *For any $t \geq 0$ function $J_1(t)$ defined in* (4.38)*, satisfies the following inequality:*

$$J_1(t) \geq C_1 e^{-6t}, \tag{5.1}$$

where C_1 is some positive constant.

Let us divide the proof into several steps.

5.1. **Fourier decomposition of $J_1(t)$.** The solutions of the heat equation

$$\partial_t S - \partial_{xx} S = 0$$

with periodic boundary condition and initial conditions $S(t,x)|_{t=0} = \chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(1+\cos p\xi)$ and $S(t,x)|_{t=0} = \chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi)$ can be represented as

$$S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(1+\cos p\xi)) = \frac{1}{p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c(k)\cos kx e^{-k^2 t}; \tag{5.2}$$

$$S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi)) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d(k)\cos kx e^{-k^2 t}, \tag{5.3}$$

where

$$c(k) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{2p^2 \sin\frac{\pi k}{p}}{\pi k(p^2-k^2)}, k \neq p, \\ \dfrac{1}{p}, k = p, \end{cases} \tag{5.4}$$

and

$$d(k) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{6p^2 k \sin\frac{\pi k}{p}}{\pi(p^2-k^2)(4p^2-k^2)}, k \neq p, k \neq 2p, \\ \dfrac{1}{p}, k = p, 2p \end{cases} \tag{5.5}$$

are the Fourier coefficients of $\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(1+\cos p\xi)$ and $\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi)$ correspondingly.

Therefore, $J_1(t)$ is equal to

$$J_1(t) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{p} + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} c(k)\cos kx \cdot e^{-k^2 t}\right)^2 \cdot \left(\sum_{l=1}^{+\infty} d(l)\cos lx \cdot e^{-l^2 t}\right) dx =$$

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{p^2}\sum_{l=1}^{+\infty} d(l)\cos lx \cdot e^{-l^2 t} + \right.$$

$$\frac{1}{p}\sum_{m,l=1}^{+\infty} c(m)d(l)(\cos(m+l)x + \cos(m-l)x)e^{-(m^2+l^2)t} +$$

$$\frac{1}{4}\sum_{k,m,l=1}^{+\infty} c(k)c(m)d(l)(\cos(k+m+l)x + \cos(k-m-l)x +$$

$$\left.\cos(k+m-l)x + \cos(k-m+l)x)e^{-(k^2+m^2+l^2)t}\right) dx$$

Since

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos nx dx = \begin{cases} 0, n \neq 0, \\ \pi, n = 0, \end{cases}$$

we get that

$$J_1(t) = \frac{2\pi}{p} J_{10}(t) + \frac{\pi}{2}(2J_{11}(t) + J_{12}(t)), \tag{5.6}$$

where

$$J_{10}(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c(m)d(m)e^{-2m^2 t}, \tag{5.7}$$

$$J_{11}(t) = \sum_{m,l=1}^{\infty} c(m)d(l)c(m+l)e^{-2(m^2+l^2+ml)t} =: \sum_{m,l=1}^{\infty} F_{11}(m,l;t), \tag{5.8}$$

and

$$J_{12}(t) = \sum_{m,l=1}^{\infty} c(m)c(l)d(m+l)e^{-2(m^2+l^2+ml)t} =: \sum_{m,l=1}^{\infty} F_{12}(m,l;t). \tag{5.9}$$

5.2. **Positiveness of J_{10}.**

Lemma 5.1. *The following inequality is true:*

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c(m)d(m)e^{-2m^2t} > 0. \tag{5.10}$$

Proof. Let us write sum (5.10) as

$$\begin{gathered}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c(m)d(m)e^{-2m^2t} = \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} c(p-m)d(p-m)e^{-2(p-m)^2t} + \frac{1}{p^2}e^{-2p^2t} + \\ \sum_{m=p+1}^{2p-1} c(m)d(m)e^{-2m^2t} + \sum_{a=2}^{+\infty}\sum_{m=1}^{p-1} c(ap+m)d(ap+m)e^{-2(ap+m)^2t}.\end{gathered} \tag{5.11}$$

According to (5.4)-(5.5), all summands but the last one in (5.11) are positive.

Let us consider the following relation:

$$\begin{gathered}\frac{c(ap+m)d(ap+m)}{|c(p-m)d(p-m)|} < \\ \frac{m^2(2p-m)^2(p+m)(3p-m)}{((a-1)p+m)^2((a+1)p+m)^2((a-2)p+m)((a+2)p+m)}\end{gathered} \tag{5.12}$$

For $a = 2$ the right hand side of (5.12) turns into

$$\frac{m(2p-m)^2(3p-m)}{(p+m)(3p+m)^2(4p+m)} = \frac{x}{1+x}\cdot\frac{(2-x)^2(3-x)}{(3+x)^2(4+x)}, \tag{5.13}$$

where $x = m/p$, $x \in (0,1)$.

It is easy to see, that the first multiple in the right hand side of (5.13) is an increasing function of x, and the second is a decreasing function of x, therefore,

$$\frac{m(2p-m)^2(3p-m)}{(p+m)(3p+m)^2(4p+m)} < \frac{1}{2}\cdot\frac{4\cdot 3}{9\cdot 4} = \frac{1}{6}. \tag{5.14}$$

For $a \geq 3$

$$\begin{gathered}\frac{m^2(2p-m)^2(p+m)(3p-m)}{((a-1)p+m)^2((a+1)p+m)^2((a-2)p+m)((a+2)p+m)} = \\ \frac{x^2(1+x)}{(a-1+x)^2(a-2+x)}\cdot\frac{(2-x)^2(3-x)}{(a+1+x)^2(a+2+x)},\end{gathered} \tag{5.15}$$

where $x = m/p$, $x \in (0,1)$. Due to monotonicity,

$$\frac{x^2(1+x)}{(a-1+x)^2(a-2+x)} < \frac{2}{a^2(a-1)},\ \frac{(2-x)^2(3-x)}{(a+1+x)^2(a+2+x)} < \frac{12}{(a+1)^2(a+2)}. \tag{5.16}$$

As it follows from (5.12)-(5.16),

$$\frac{\sum_{a=2}^{+\infty} c(ap+m)d(ap+m)}{|c(p-m)d(p-m)|} < \\ \frac{1}{6} + \sum_{a=3}^{+\infty} \frac{24}{(a-1)a^2(a+1)^2(a+2)} = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{2}(79 - 8\pi^2) < 0.2 < 1, \tag{5.17}$$

which implies (5.10) □

5.3. **Sign distribution in J_{11} and J_{12}.** Let us determine how the signs of the summands in $J_{11}(t)$ and J_{12} are distributed. We will need the following lemma, directly following from definitions (5.4) and (5.5) of functions $c(k)$ and $d(k)$:

Lemma 5.2. *Let $c(k), k \in \mathbb{N}$ be the function defined in (5.4), and $d(k), k \in \mathbb{N}$ be the function, defined in (5.5). Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &i)\ c(k) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad k = pl \quad \text{where} \quad l \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}, \\ &\quad d(k) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad k = pl \quad \text{where} \quad l \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\}, \\ &ii)\ c(k) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad k \in (0, 2p) \cup \{\cup_{l\in\mathbb{N}}((2l+1)p, (2l+2)p) \\ &\quad d(k) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad k \in (0, 3p) \cup \{\cup_{l\in\mathbb{N}}((2l+2)p, (2l+3)p)\} \\ &iii)\ c(k) < 0 \quad \text{for} \quad k \in \cup_{l\in\mathbb{N}}(2lp, (2l+1)p) \\ &\quad d(k) < 0 \quad \text{for} \quad k \in \cup_{l\in\mathbb{N}}((2l+1)p, (2l+2)p) \end{aligned}$$

The next two statements follow directly from 5.2:

Lemma 5.3. *The signs of function $c(m)d(l)c(m+l)$ from (5.8) are distributed as follows:*

i) For all $(m, l) \in (0, 2p) \times (0, 2p), m + l < 2p$ $c(m)d(l)c(m+l) > 0$;

ii) In every square $\{(m, l) \in (pa, p(a+1)) \times (pb, p(b+1))\}$, where $a \in \mathbb{N}, b \in \{0\} \cup (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\})$ or $a = 0, b = 1$

$$\operatorname{sign} c(m)d(l)c(m+l) = \begin{cases} +, & \text{if } m + l < p(a+b+1) \\ -, & \text{if } m + l > p(a+b+1) \end{cases} \tag{5.18}$$

iii) In every set $\{(m, l) \in (pa, p(a+1)) \times (pb, p(b+1))\}$, where $a = 0, b \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ or $a \in \mathbb{N}, b = 1$,

$$\operatorname{sign} c(m)d(l)c(m+l) = \begin{cases} -, & \text{if } m + l < p(a+b+1) \\ +, & \text{if } m + l > p(a+b+1) \end{cases} \tag{5.19}$$

Lemma 5.4. *The signs of function $c(m)c(l)d(m+l)$ from (5.9) are distributed as follows:*

i) In every square $\{(k, l) \in (pa, p(a+1)) \times (pb, p(b+1))\}$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\operatorname{sign} c(m)c(l)d(m+l) = \begin{cases} +, & \text{if } m + l < p(a+b+1) \\ -, & \text{if } m + l > p(a+b+1) \end{cases} \tag{5.20}$$

ii) In every set $\{(m, l) \in (pa, p(a+1)) \times (0, p) \cup (0, p) \times (pa, p(a+1))\}$, where $a \in \mathbb{N}, a \geq 2$,

$$\operatorname{sign} c(m)c(l)d(m+l) = \begin{cases} -, & \text{if } m + l < p(a+1) \\ +, & \text{if } m + l > p(a+1) \end{cases} \tag{5.21}$$

iii) For all $(k,l) \in (p,2p) \times (p,2p), k+l < 3p$

$$c(m)c(l)d(m+l) > 0, \tag{5.22}$$

5.4. **Positiveness of sum J_{12} together with certain negative summands from J_{12}.** Let us denote the set of all points from $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ belonging to the triangle with vertices at points (m_1 , l_1), (m_2, l_2), (m_3, l_3) by $\{(m_1, l_1), (m_2, l_2), (m_3, l_3)\}$, and use notation

$$J_{11}(\{(m_1, l_1), (m_2, l_2), (m_3, l_3)\}; t)$$

,

$$J_{12}(\{(m_1, l_1), (m_2, l_2), (m_3, l_3)\}, t)$$

for part of the sums J_{11}, J_{12}, corresponding to this set.

First let us prove the following lemma:

Lemma 5.5. *The following inequality is true:*

$$\sum_{m=p+1, l=p+1}^{+\infty,+\infty} F_{12}(m, l; t) > 0, \tag{5.23}$$

where $F_{12}(m, l; t)$ *was defined in* (5.9).

Proof. Let us consider sets $\{(pa, p(a+1)) \times (pb, p(b+1))\}, a, b \in \mathbb{N}$. According to Lemma5.4, all summands in triangle $\{(pa, pb), (pa, p(b+1)), (p(a+1), pb)\}$ are positive, and all summands in triangle $\{(pa, p(b+1)), (p(a+1), p(b+1)), (p(a+1), pb)\}$ are negative. If we show, that the value of positive summands exceeds the value of negative summands, that would prove the positiveness of the part of the sum J_{12}, corresponding to $m, l > p$. Let us perform the change of variables $m = pa + m_1$, $l = pb + l_1$. Then

$$J_{12}(\{(pa, pb), (p(a+1), pb), (pa, p(b+1))\}, t) = \sum_{\substack{m_1, l_1 = 1 \\ m_1 + l_1 < p}}^{p-1} c(pa + m_1)c(pb + l_1)d(p(a+b) + m_1 + l_1), \tag{5.24}$$

$$J_{12}(\{(pa, p(b+1)), (p(a+1), pb), (p(a+1), p(b+1))\}, t) = \sum_{\substack{m_1, l_1 = 1 \\ m_1 + l_1 > p}}^{p-1} c(pa + m_1)c(pb + l_1)d(p(a+b) + m_1 + l_1) \tag{5.25}$$

Next, let us switch to variables $s = p - m_1 - l_1$, $m = m_1$ in (5.24) and to variables $s = m_1 + l_1 - p$, $m = m_1 - s$ in (5.25) and consider the following relation:

$$\frac{F_{12}(pa + m, p(b+1) - m - s; t)}{|F_{12}(pa + m + s, p(b+1) - m; t)|} = \frac{c_1(pa+m)c_1(p(b+1)-m-s)d_1(p(a+b+1)-s)}{c_1(pa+m+s)c_1(p(b+1)-m)d_1(p(a+b+1)+s)} \cdot e^{12(a+b+1)ps} > \frac{c_1(pa+m)c_1(p(b+1)-m-s)d_1(p(a+b+1)-s)}{c_1(pa+m+s)c_1(p(b+1)-m)d_1(p(a+b+1)+s)}, \tag{5.26}$$

where

$$c_1(k) = \frac{1}{k(k^2 - p^2)}, \tag{5.27}$$

and

$$d_1(k) = \frac{k}{(k^2-p^2)(k^2-4p^2)}. \tag{5.28}$$

Since $c_1(k)$ is decreasing for $k > p$ and $d_1(k)$ is decreasing for $k > 2p$, the numerator of the fraction in the last line of (5.26) is greater than the denominator, therefore, the fraction is greater than one and $J_{12}(\{(pa,pb),(p(a+1),pb),(pa,p(b+1))\},t)$ is greater than $|J_{12}(\{(pa,p(b+1)),(p(a+1),pb),(p(a+1),p(b+1))\},t)|$. □

Next, let us prove the following statement:

Lemma 5.6. *The following inequality is true:*

$$\frac{31}{100}J_{12}(\{(p,0),(2p,0),(p,p)\},t) + \sum_{a=2}^{+\infty} J_{12}(\{(pa,0),(p(a+1),0),(pa,p)\},t) > 0, \tag{5.29}$$

$$\frac{31}{100}J_{12}(\{(0,p),(0,2p),(p,p)\},t) + \sum_{a=2}^{+\infty} J_{12}(\{(0,pa),(0,p(a+1)),(p,pa)\},t) > 0. \tag{5.30}$$

Proof. Since summands in J_{12} are symmetrical with respect to change $(m,l) \to (l, m)$, it is enough to prove only inequality (5.29).

According to Lemma5.4, the summands in $J_{12}(\{(p,0),(2p,0),(p,p)\};t)$ are positive, and the summands in $J_{12}(\{(pa,0),(p(a+1),0),(pa,p)\};t)$, $a \geq 2$, are negative.

Let us perform the change of variables $m = p + m_1,\ l = l_1$ in $J_{12}(\{(p,0),(2p, 0),(p,p)\};t)$ and $m = pa + m_1,\ l = l_1$ in $J_{12}(\{(pa,0),(p(a+1),0),(pa,p)\};t)$, $1 \leq m_1 \leq p-1$,$1 \leq m_1 \leq p-1$. Then let us denote m_1, l_1 by m, l and consider the following relation:

$$\frac{|F_{12}(pa+m,l;t)|}{F_{12}(p+m,l;t)} < \frac{|c(pa+m)d(pa+m+l)|}{c(p+m)d(p+m+l)}. \tag{5.31}$$

According to (5.4)-(5.5),

$$\frac{|c(pa+m)d(pa+m+l)|}{c(p+m)d(p+m+l)} = f_1(x)f_2(z),$$

where $x = \frac{m}{p}$, $z = \frac{m+l}{p}$, and

$$f_1(x) = \frac{(1+x)}{(a+x)} \cdot \frac{x}{(a-1+x)} \cdot \frac{(2+x)}{(a+1+x)}, \tag{5.32}$$

$$f_2(z) = \frac{z}{(a-2+z)} \cdot \frac{a+z}{(a+1+z)} \cdot \frac{(2+z)}{(a-1+z)} \cdot \frac{(3+z)}{(a+2+z)} \cdot \frac{(1-z)}{(1+z)}. \tag{5.33}$$

Obviously, all multipliers in (5.32) are increasing functions of $x, x \in (0,1)$, and for $a \geq 3$ all multipliers but the last one in (5.33) are increasing functions of z and the last multiplier is a decreasing function of z, $z \in (0,1)$. Therefore,

$$f_1(x) < f_1(1) = \frac{6}{a(a+1)(a+2)},$$

$$f_2(z) < \frac{12(a+3)}{(a-1)a(a+2)(a+3)}, \quad a \geq 3.$$

When $a = 2$,

$$f_2(z) = \frac{(2+z)^2}{(1+z)^2} \cdot \frac{(1-z)}{(4+z)} < 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\sum_{a=2}^{+\infty} J_{12}(\{(pa,0),(p(a+1),0),(pa,p)\})}{J_{12}(\{(p,0),(2p,0),(p,p)\})} <$$
$$\frac{1}{4} + \sum_{a=3}^{+\infty} \frac{72}{(a-1)a^2(a+1)(a+2)^2} = \frac{1}{24}(481 - 48\pi^2) < \frac{31}{100}.$$

Therefore, inequalities (5.29)-(5.30) are established. □

The following two lemmas show, that the sums $J_{12}(\{(p,0),(2p,0),(p,p)\};t)$ and $J_{12}(\{(0,p),(0,2p),(p,p)\};t)$ also compensate for some of the negative summands from $J_{11}(t)$:

Lemma 5.7. *The following inequality is true:*

$$\frac{1}{5}\left[J_{12}(\{(p,0),(2p,0),(p,p)\},t) + J_{12}(\{(0,p),(0,2p),(p,p)\},t)\right] + 2\sum_{a=1}^{+\infty} J_{11}(\{(pa,p),(p(a+1),p),(pa,2p)\},t) > 0. \tag{5.34}$$

Proof. Because of the symmetry of the summands in $J_{12}(t)$, $J_{12}(\{(p,0),(2p,0),(p,p)\},t) = J_{12}(\{(0,p),(0,2p),(p,p)\},t)$, therefore inequality (5.34) is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{5} J_{12}(\{(p,0),(2p,0),(p,p)\},t) + \sum_{a=1}^{+\infty} J_{11}(\{(pa,p),(p(a+1),p),(pa,2p)\},t) > 0. \tag{5.35}$$

Let us rewrite $J_{12}(\{(p,0),(2p,0),(p,p)\},t)$ as

$$J_{12}(\{(p,0),(2p,0),(p,p)\},t) = \sum_{\substack{m,l=1 \\ m+l<p}}^{p-1} F_{12}(m,p+l;t), \tag{5.36}$$

and $J_{11}(\{(pa,p),(p(a+1),p),(pa,2p)\})$ as

$$J_{11}(\{(pa,p),(p(a+1),p),(pa,2p)\},t) = \sum_{\substack{m,l=1 \\ m+l<p}}^{p-1} F_{11}(ap+m,p+l;t) \tag{5.37}$$

and consider the ratio of the absolute values of the summands from (5.37) to the summands from (5.36), corresponding to the same m and l:

$$\begin{gathered}\frac{|F_{11}(ap+m,p+l;t)|}{F_{12}(m,p+l;t)}<\frac{|c(ap+m)d(p+l)c((a+1)p+m+l)|}{c(m)c(p+l)d(p+m+l)}=\\ \frac{m(p-m)(p+m)}{(ap+m)((a-1)p+m)((a+1)p+m)}\cdot\frac{(p+l)^2}{(p-l)(3p+l)}\cdot\\ \frac{(m+l)(2p+m+l)(p-m-l)(3p+m+l)}{(p+m+l)((a+1)p+m)(ap+m+l)((a+2)p+m)}<\\ \frac{m(p-m)(p+m)}{(ap+m)((a-1)p+m)((a+1)p+m)}\cdot\frac{(p+l)^2}{(p-l)(3p+l)}\cdot\\ \frac{(m+l)(2p+m+l)(p-l)(3p+m+l)}{(p+m+l)((a+1)p+m)(ap+m+l)((a+2)p+m)}=\\ f_1(x)\cdot f_2(y)\cdot f_3(z),\end{gathered}\tag{5.38}$$

where $x=m/p$, $y=l/p$, $z=x+y$, $x,y,z\in(0,1)$ and

$$f_1(x)=\frac{x}{a-1+x}\cdot\frac{1+x}{a+x}\cdot\frac{1-x}{a+1+x},$$

$$f_2(y)=\frac{(1+y)^2}{3+y},$$

$$f_3(z)=\frac{z}{1+z}\cdot\frac{2+z}{a+1+z}\cdot\frac{3+z}{a+2+z}\cdot\frac{1}{a+z}.$$

Since

$$f_2'(y)=\frac{(1+y)(5+y)}{(3+y)^2}>0$$

for $y\in(0,1)$, function $f_2(y)$ increases on the interval $(0,1)$, therefore,

$$f_2(y)<f_2(1)=1.\tag{5.39}$$

When $a=1$,

$$f_1(x)=\frac{1-x}{2+x},$$

$$f_3(z)=\frac{z}{(1+z)^2}.$$

It is easy to see that $f_1(x)$ decreases, and $f_3(z)$ increases on $(0,1)$, therefore, taking into account (5.39), we get that

$$\frac{|F_{11}(p+m,p+l;t)|}{F_{12}(m,p+l;t)}<f_1(0)\cdot f_3(1)<\frac{1}{8}.\tag{5.40}$$

When $a\geq 2$, the first two multipliers in $f_1(x)$ and the first three multipliers in $f_3(z)$ are indecreasing functions, while the last ones are decreasing functions, therefore, taking in account (5.39), we get

$$\begin{gathered}\frac{|F_{11}(ap+m,p+l;t)|}{F_{12}(m,p+l;t)}<f_1(x)\cdot f_3(z)<\\ \frac{12}{a^2(a+1)^2(a+2)(a+3)},\end{gathered}$$

therefore,

$$\frac{\sum_{a=1}^{+\infty}|F_{11}(ap+m,l;t)|}{F_{12}(m,p+l;t)}<$$
$$\frac{1}{8}+\sum_{a=2}^{+\infty}\frac{12}{a^2(a+1)^2(a+2)(a+3)}=\frac{4\pi^2}{3}-\frac{937}{72}<\frac{1}{5},$$

which implies (5.35) and (5.34). □

Lemma 5.8. *The following inequality is true:*

$$\frac{1}{20}\left[J_{12}(\{(p,0),(2p,0),(p,p)\},t)+J_{12}(\{(0,p),(0,2p),(p,p)\},t)\right]+ \\ 2\sum_{a=2}^{+\infty}J_{11}(\{(0,pa),(0,p(a+1)),(p,ap)\},t)>0. \tag{5.41}$$

Proof. Because of symmetry, $J_{12}(\{(p,0),(2p,0),(p,p)\},t)=J_{12}(\{(0,p),(0,2p),(p,p)\},t)$, therefore inequality (5.41) is equivalent to

$$J_{12}(\{(0,p),(0,2p),(p,p)\},t)+\sum_{a=1}^{+\infty}J_{11}(\{(0,pa),(0,p(a+1)),(p,ap)\},t)>0. \tag{5.42}$$

Let us rewrite $J_{12}(\{(0,p),(0,2p),(p,p)\},t)$ as

$$J_{12}(\{(0,p),(0,2p),(p,p)\},t)=\sum_{\substack{m,l=1\\ m+l<p}}^{p-1}F_{12}(m,p+l;t), \tag{5.43}$$

and $J_{11}(\{(0,pa),(0,p(a+1)),(p,ap)\},t)$ as

$$J_{11}(\{(0,pa),(0,p(a+1)),(p,ap)\},t)=\sum_{\substack{m,l=1\\ m+l<p}}^{p-1}F_{11}(m,ap+l;t) \tag{5.44}$$

and consider the ratio of the absolute values of the summands from (5.44) to the summands from (5.43), corresponding to the same m and l:

$$\frac{|F_{11}(m,ap+l;t)|}{F_{12}(m,p+l;t)}<\frac{|d(ap+l)c((a+1)p+m+l)|}{c(p+l)d(p+m+l)}= \\ f_4(y)\cdot f_5(z), \tag{5.45}$$

where $y=l/p$, $z=(m+l)/p$, $y,z\in(0,1)$ and

$$f_4(y)=\frac{a+y}{a+1+y}\cdot\frac{1+y}{a-1+y}\cdot\frac{y}{a-2+y}\cdot\frac{2+y}{a+2+y},$$
$$f_5(z)=\frac{z}{1+z}\cdot\frac{2+z}{a+1+z}\cdot\frac{3+z}{a+2+z}\cdot\frac{1-z}{a+z}.$$

It is easy to see that $f_4(y)$ increases, so $f_4(y)<f(1)$. Let us consider the numerator of $f_3(z)$:

$$\frac{d}{dz}(z(1-z)(2+z)(3+z))=-2(z+1)\left(z-\left(-1-\sqrt{5/2}\right)\right)\left(z-\left(-1+\sqrt{5/2}\right)\right).$$

So, the numerator of $f_3(z)$ reaches its maximum on the interval $(0,1)$ at point $z=-1+\sqrt{5/2}$ and

$$z(1-z)(2+z)(3+z)\le\frac{9}{4}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\sum_{a=2}^{+\infty}|F_{11}(m,ap+l;t)|}{F_{12}(m,p+l;t)} < \sum_{a=2}^{+\infty} f_4(1)\cdot f_5(z) <$$
$$\sum_{a=2}^{+\infty}\frac{6(a+1)}{a(a-1)(a+2)(a+3)}\cdot\frac{9}{4a(a+1)(a+2)} =$$
$$\sum_{a=2}^{+\infty}\frac{27}{2a^2(a-1)(a+2)^2(a+3)} = \frac{1440-144\pi^2}{384} < \frac{1}{20}.$$

□

Finally, let us prove the following two lemmas:

Lemma 5.9. *The following inequality is true:*

$$J_{12}(\{(p,p),(2p,0),(2p,p)\},t) + 2J_{11}(\{(p,p),(2p,0),(2p,p)\},t) > 0. \tag{5.46}$$

Proof. Let us consider the relation of summands from $J_{12}(t)$ to the summands from $J_{11}(t)$, corresponding to the same coordinates $(2p-m,p-l)$, $m,l\in[1,p-1]$:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{F_{12}(2p-m,p-l;t)}{|F_{11}(2p-m,p-l,t)|} > \frac{c(2p-m)c(p-l)d(3p-m-l)}{2|c(2p-m)d(p-l)c(3p-m-l)|} =\\ &\frac{(1+x)(3-x)}{2(1-x)^2}\cdot\frac{(3-x-y)^2}{(1-x-y)(5-x-y)} =: g_1(x)g_2(z),\end{aligned} \tag{5.47}$$

where $x=\frac{l}{p}$, $y=\frac{m}{p}$, $z=x+y$. Since

$$g_1'(x) = \frac{4}{(1-x)^3} > 0, \quad x\in(0,1),$$

$$g_2'(z) = \frac{8(3-z)}{(1-z)^2(5-z)^2} > 0, \quad z\in(0,1),$$

both functions are increasing on $(0,1)$, therefore,

$$g_1(x)g_2(x) > g_1(0)g_2(0) = \frac{27}{10},$$

which implies inequality (5.46). □

Lemma 5.10. *The following inequality is true:*

$$J_{12}(\{(0,p),(p,0),(p,p)\},t) + 2J_{11}(\{(0,2p),(p,p),(p,2p)\},t) > 0. \tag{5.48}$$

Proof. Let us rewrite $J_{12}(\{(0,p),(p,0),(p,p)\},t)$ as

$$J_{12}(\{(0,p),(p,0),(p,p)\},t) = \sum_{\substack{m,l=1\\ m+l<p}}^{p-1} F_{12}(p-m,p-l;t), \tag{5.49}$$

and $J_{11}(\{(0,2p),(p,p),(p,2p)\},t)$ as

$$J_{11}(\{(0,2p),(p,p),(p,2p)\},t) = \sum_{\substack{m,l=1\\ m+l<p}}^{p-1} F_{11}(p-m,2p-l;t) \tag{5.50}$$

and consider the relation of the absolute values of summands from (5.50) to the summands from (5.49), corresponding to the same $m, l \in [1, p-1]$:

$$\frac{2|F_{11}(p-m, 2p-l; t)|}{F_{12}(p-m, p-l; t)|} < \frac{2c(p-m)d(2p-l)d(3p-m-l)}{c(p-m)c(p-l)d(2p-m-l)} =$$
$$\frac{2(2-y)^2}{(3-y)(4-y)} \cdot \frac{z(1-z)}{(2-z)^2} =: g_3(y)g_4(z),$$

where $y = \frac{l}{p}$, $z = \frac{l}{p} + \frac{m}{p}$, $y, z \in (0, 1)$.

It is easy to see, that $g_3(y)$ decreases on the interval $(0, 1)$, so $g_3(y) < g_3(0) = \frac{2}{3}$.

Since $z(1-z) \le \frac{1}{4}$, and $(2-z)^2 > 1$, $z \in (0, 1)$, we finally get, that

$$\frac{2|F_{11}(p-m, 2p-l; t)|}{F_{12}(p-m, p-l, t)|} < \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{6},$$

which implies (5.48). □

5.5. **Positiveness of the remaining part of J_{11}.** In this paragraph we shall prove the following statement:

Lemma 5.11. *Part of the sum $J_{11}(t)$, defined in* (5.8)*, corresponding to the sets $\{ (m,\ l) : m > p, l > 2p\}$ and $\{(m, l) \in ([1, +\infty] \times [1, p])\backslash(\{(p, p), (2p, 0), (2p, p)\})\}$, is positive $\forall t > 0$.*

Proof. 1) To prove the positiveness of part of J_{11}, defined in (5.8), corresponding to sets $\{(m, l) : m > p, l > 2p\}$, let us consider squares $\{(pa, p(a+1)) \times (pb, p(b+1))\}, a, b \in \mathbb{N}, b \ge 2$. According to Lemma5.3, all summands in triangle $\{(pa, pb), (pa, p(b+1)), (p(a+1), pb)\}$ are positive, and all summands in triangle $\{(pa, p(b+1)), (p(a+1), p(b+1)), (p(a+1), pb)\}$ are negative. If we show, that the value of positive summands exceeds the value of negative summands, that would prove the positiveness of the part of the sum $J_{11}(t)$, corresponding to $m > p, l > 2p$. Let us perform the change of variables $m = pa + m_1,\ l = pb + l_1$. Then

$$J_{11}(\{(pa, pb), (p(a+1), pb), (pa, p(b+1))\}, t) =$$
$$\sum_{\substack{m_1, l_1 = 1 \\ m_1 + l_1 < p}}^{p-1} c(pa + m_1)d(pb + l_1)c(p(a+b) + m_1 + l_1), \tag{5.51}$$

$$J_{11}(\{(pa, p(b+1)), (p(a+1), pb), (p(a+1), p(b+1))\}, t) =$$
$$\sum_{\substack{m_1, l_1 = 1 \\ m_1 + l_1 > p}}^{p-1} c(pa + m_1)d(pb + l_1)c(p(a+b) + m_1 + l_1) \tag{5.52}$$

Next, let us switch to variables $s = p - m_1 - l_1$, $m = m_1$ in (5.51) and to variables $s = m_1 + l_1 - p$, $m = m_1 - s$ in (5.52) and consider the relation of the summand

from (5.51) to the summand from (5.52) with the same s, m:

$$\frac{F_{11}(pa+m,p(b+1)-m-s)}{F_{11}(pa+m+s,p(b+1)-m)} = \\ \frac{c_1(pa+m)d_1(p(b+1)-m-s)c_1(p(a+b+1)-s)}{c_1(pa+m+s)d_1(p(b+1)-m)c_1(p(a+b+1)+s)} \cdot e^{6(a+b+1)ps} > \\ \frac{c_1(pa+m)d_1(p(b+1)-m-s)c_1(p(a+b+1)-s)}{c_1(pa+m+s)d_1(p(b+1)-m)c_1(p(a+b+1)+s)}, \tag{5.53}$$

where $c_1(k)$ and $d_1(k)$ were defined in (5.27)-(5.28).

Since $c_1(k)$ is decreasing for $k > p$ and $d_1(k)$ is decreasing for $k > 2p$, the numerator of the fraction in the last line of (5.53) is greater than the denominator, therefore, the fraction is greater than one and

$$J_{11}(\{(pa,pb),(p(a+1),pb),(pa,p(b+1))\}) > \\ |J_{11}(\{(pa,p(b+1)),(p(a+1),pb),(p(a+1),p(b+1))\})|. \tag{5.54}$$

2) According to Lemma 5.3, all summands in $J_{11}(\{(0,p),(p,p),(p,0)\},t)$ are positive, and all summands in $J_{11}(\{(pa,p),(p(a+1),p),(p(a+1),0)\},t)$. $a \geq 1$, are negative. Therefore, in order to prove that

$$J_{11}(\{(0,p),(p,p),(p,0)\},t) + \sum_{a=2}^{+\infty} J_{11}(\{(pa,p),(p(a+1),p),(p(a+1),0)\},t) > 0, \tag{5.55}$$

it is sufficient to show that the values of positive summands are not less that the absolute values of negative summands.

Let us rewrite $J_{11}(\{(0,p),(p,p),(p,0)\},t)$ as

$$J_{11}(\{(0,p),(p,p),(p,0)\},t) = \sum_{m,l=1}^{p-1} F_{11}(p-m,p-l;t)$$

and $J_{11}(\{(pa,p),(p(a+1),p),(p(a+1),0)\},t)$ as

$$J_{11}(\{(pa,p),(p(a+1),p),(p(a+1),0)\},t) = \sum_{m,l=1}^{p-1} F_{11}(pa-m,p-l;t)$$

and consider the following relation:

$$\frac{|F_{11}(pa-m,p-l;t)|}{F_{11}(p-m,p-l;t)} < \frac{|c(pa-m)c(p(a+1)-m-l)|}{c(p-m)c(2p-m-l)} = h_1(x)h_2(z), \tag{5.56}$$

where $x = \frac{m}{p}$, $z = \frac{m+l}{p}$, and

$$h_1(x) = \frac{x}{(a-1-x)} \cdot \frac{1-x}{a-x} \cdot \frac{2-x}{a+1-x}, \tag{5.57}$$

$$h_2(z) = \frac{1-z}{a-z} \cdot \frac{2-z}{a+1-z} \cdot \frac{3-z}{a+2-z}. \tag{5.58}$$

Obviously, all multipliers in (5.58) are decreasing functions of $z, z \in (0,1)$, all multipliers but the first one in (5.57) are decreasing functions of x and the first multiplier is an increasing function of x, $x \in (0,1)$. Therefore,

$$h_1(x) < \frac{2}{a^2(a+1)},$$

$$h_2(z) < \frac{6}{a(a+1)(a+2)},$$

and

$$\frac{\sum_{a=2}^{+\infty} |F_{11}(pa-m, p-l; t)|}{F_{11}(p-m, p-l; t)} < \sum_{a=2}^{+\infty} \frac{12}{a^3(a+1)^2(a+2)} <$$
$$\frac{1}{24} + \int_2^{+\infty} \frac{12dx}{x^3(x+1)^2(x+2)} < \frac{1}{24} \int_2^{+\infty} \frac{12dx}{x^6} = \frac{7}{60} < 1.$$

Therefore, inequality (5.55) is established. Together with (5.54) this completes the proof of the lemma. □

According to Lemmas 5.1-5.11 and equality (5.6),

$$J_1(t) = \frac{2\pi}{p} J_{10}(t) + \frac{\pi}{2}(2J_{11}(t) + J_{12}(t)) >$$
$$\frac{\pi}{2}(2F_{11}(1,1;t) + F_{12}(1,1;t)) = C_1 \cdot e^{-6t},$$

where $C_1 > 0$, which completes the proof of theorem 5.1.

6. Estimate for $J_2(t) + J_4(t)$

In this section we begin the proof of the following theorem:

Theorem 6.1. *For any $t \geq 0$ function $J_2(t) + J_4(t)$ defined in (4.39), (4.41), satisfies the following inequality:*

$$J_2(t) + J_4(t) \geq C_2 e^{-6t}, \tag{6.1}$$

where C_2 is some positive constant.

Proof. In order to prove the estimate (6.1), let us find the derivative of $J_2(t)+J_4(t)$. According to Lemma4.2,

$$\frac{d}{dt}J_4(t) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \partial_{xx} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi)) S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi + 1/2\sin 2p\xi))\cdot$$
$$S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi))dx -$$
$$-p^2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi)) S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi + 2\sin 2p\xi))\cdot$$
$$S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi))dx -$$
$$-p^2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi)) S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi + 1/2\sin 2p\xi))\cdot$$
$$S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + 4\cos 2p\xi))dx = \tag{6.2}$$
$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \partial_{xx} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi)) S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi + 1/2\sin 2p\xi))\cdot$$
$$S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi))dx - 8p^2 J_4(t) +$$
$$3p^2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi)) S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi + 1/2\sin 2p\xi))\cdot$$
$$S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi))dx +$$
$$3p^2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi)) S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi))dx.$$

Let us consider the first summand in the right hand side of (6.2):

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \partial_{xx} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi)) S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi + 1/2\sin 2p\xi))\cdot$$
$$S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi))dx =$$
$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \partial_{xx} [S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi + 1/2\sin 2p\xi)) S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi))]\cdot$$
$$S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi))dx =$$
$$-p^2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi + 2\sin 2p\xi)) S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi))\cdot$$
$$S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi))dx -$$
$$2p^2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi)) S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi + 2\sin 2p\xi))\cdot$$
$$S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi))dx -$$
$$p^2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi + 1/2\sin 2p\xi)) S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + 4\cos 2p\xi))\cdot$$
$$S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi))dx =$$
$$-16p^2 J_4(t) + 9p^2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi)) S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi))dx +$$
$$3p^2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi)) S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi + 1/2\sin 2p\xi)) S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi))dx.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{d}{dt}J_4(t) = -24p^2 J_4(t) +$$
$$6p^2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi + 1/2\sin 2p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi))dx +$$
$$12p^2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi))dx.$$

Integrating by parts, we get that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi + 1/2\sin 2p\xi))\cdot$$
$$S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi))dx =$$
$$\frac{1}{2p}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi + 1/2\sin 2p\xi))d(S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi))) =$$
$$-\frac{1}{2}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi))dx.$$

Therefore, finally,

$$\frac{d}{dt}J_4(t) = -24p^2 J_4(t) +$$
$$9p^2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi))dx.$$

Next, let us find the derivative of $J_2(t)$:

$$\frac{d}{dt}J_2(t) =$$
$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \partial_{xx}S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(1+\cos p\xi))S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi))dx +$$
$$2\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(1+\cos p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi))\cdot$$
$$\partial_{xx}(S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi)))dx =$$
$$2p^2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(1+\cos p\xi))S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi + 2\sin 2p\xi))dx -$$
$$-4p^2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(1+\cos p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi))\cdot$$
$$S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + 4\cos 2p\xi))dx = -16p^2 J_2(t) +$$
$$2p^2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(1+\cos p\xi))S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi + 2\sin 2p\xi))dx +$$
$$12p^2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(1+\cos p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi))\cdot$$
$$S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi))dx.$$

Therefore, $J_2(t) + J_4(t)$ satisfies the following differential equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}(J_2(t) + J_4(t)) + 24p^2(J_2 + J_4) = \\
&\quad 8p^2 J_2(t) + 9p^2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi))dx + \\
&\quad 2p^2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(1+\cos p\xi))S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi + 2\sin 2p\xi))dx + \\
&\quad 12p^2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(1+\cos p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi))\cdot \\
&\qquad S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi))dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

The initial value of $J_2(t) + J_4(t)$ is equal to $\dfrac{11\pi}{4p}$. Therefore, if we prove that the righthand side of (6.3) is greater than zero, it would mean that

$$J_2(t) + J_4(t) \geq \frac{11\pi}{4p} e^{-24p^2 t} > 0. \tag{6.4}$$

All summands in the right hand side of (6.3), except, possibly,

$$Q(t) := \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi))dx,$$

and

$$R(t) := \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(1+\cos p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi))$$

are obviously positive. Let us consider these two summands.

Differentiating function $Q(t)$, we get the following relation:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}Q(t) = -4p^2 Q(t) + \\
&\quad 3p^2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi))dx + \\
&\quad 2\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi))\partial_{xx}S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi))dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us note, that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi))dx = \\
&\frac{1}{p}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi))d(S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi))) = \\
&\frac{1}{3p}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d(S^3(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi))) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{d}{dt}Q(t) =$$
$$-4p^2Q(t) + 2p\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi))\partial_x S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi))\cdot$$
$$S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi))dx =$$
$$-4p^2Q(t) - 2p\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \partial_x[S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi))]\cdot$$
$$S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi))dx =$$
$$-4p^2Q(t) - 2p^2\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi))dx -$$
$$2p^2\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi + 2\sin 2p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi))dx.$$

Integrating by parts and taking into account (6.5), we get that

$$2p^2\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi + 2\sin 2p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi))dx =$$
$$-p^2\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + 4\cos 2p\xi))dx =$$
$$-4p^2\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi))dx = -4p^2Q(t).$$

Finally, we get that

$$\frac{d}{dt}Q(t) = -8p^2Q(t) -$$
$$-2p^2\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi))dx.$$

Similarly, let us find the derivative of $R(t)$:

$$\frac{d}{dt}R(t) = -p^2\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi))dx -$$
$$4p^2R(t) + 3p^2\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(1+\cos p\xi))dx +$$
$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi))\partial_{xx}[S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(1+\cos p\xi))\cdot$$
$$S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi))]dx =$$
$$-8p^2R(t) + 6p^2\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(1+\cos p\xi))dx -$$
$$2p^2\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi + \cos 2p\xi))dx +$$
$$2p^2\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi))\cdot$$
$$S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi + 2\sin 2p\xi))dx$$

Taking into account relation (6.5), we finally get that

$$\frac{d}{dt}R(t) = -8p^2R(t)+$$
$$6p^2\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(1+\cos p\xi))dx-$$
$$2p^2\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi+\cos 2p\xi))dx-$$
$$4p^2\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos 2p\xi))dx.$$

Finally, we arrive to the following differential equation:

$$\frac{d}{dt}(12R(t)+9Q(t)) + 8p^2(12R(t)+Q(t)) =$$
$$p^2\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(30(1+\cos p\xi)+42(1-\cos 2p\xi)))dx- \quad (6.6)$$
$$48p^2\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos 2p\xi))dx.$$

Let us show, that Theorem 6.1 follows from the following:

Theorem 6.2. *Let $\tilde{J}(t)$ be the function*

$$\tilde{J}(t) := -\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos 2p\xi))dx \quad (6.7)$$

from the right hand side of (6.6). *Then*

$$\tilde{J}(t) > \alpha\cdot e^{-6t} \quad \forall t>0, \quad (6.8)$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{144\sin^2\frac{\pi}{p}\sin\frac{2\pi}{p}}{p^4}. \quad (6.9)$$

The proof of Theorem 6.2 is complicated and will be given in the next section.

Let us now consider equation (6.6). Obviously,

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S^2(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos p\xi))S(t,x;\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(30(1+\cos p\xi)+42(1-\cos 2p\xi)))dx > 0.$$

Let us denote $12R(t)+9Q(t)$ by $g(t)$. According to (6.8), equation (6.6) can be written as

$$\frac{d}{dt}g(t) + 8p^2g(t) = \alpha e^{-6t} + h(t),$$

where α is defined in (6.9), and $h(t)\geq 0\ \forall t\geq 0$.

Since

$$g(0) = 9\int_{-\pi/p}^{\pi/p}\sin^2 px(\cos px+\cos 2px)dx+12\int_{-\pi/p}^{\pi/p}(1+\cos px)\cos px(\cos px+\cos 2px)dx = \frac{27\pi}{2p},$$

we get, that

$$g(t) = \frac{\alpha}{8p^2-6}e^{-6t} + \left(\frac{27\pi}{2p} - \frac{\alpha}{8p^2-6}\right)e^{-8p^2t} + \int_0^t e^{8p^2(\tau-t)}h(\tau)d\tau.$$

which obviously implies

$$12R(t) + 9Q(t) > \frac{\alpha}{8p^2 - 6} e^{-6t}. \tag{6.10}$$

Analogously, let us consider (6.3):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}(J_2(t) + J_4(t)) + 24p^2(J_2 + J_4) = \\
&\quad 8p^2 J_2(t) + 9p^2 Q(t) + 12p^2 R(t) + \\
&\quad 2p^2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(t, x; \chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(1 + \cos p\xi)) S^2(t, x; \chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi + 2 \sin 2p\xi)) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

According to (6.10),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}(J_2(t) + J_4(t)) + 24p^2(J_2 + J_4) = \\
&\quad \alpha_1 e^{-6t} + h_1(t),
\end{aligned}$$

$\alpha_1 = \dfrac{\alpha}{8p^2 - 6}$ and $h_1(t) \geq 0 \ \forall t \geq 0$. Since $(J_2 + J_4)(0) = \dfrac{11\pi}{4p}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&J_2(t) + J_4(t) = \\
&\frac{\alpha_1}{24p^2 - 6} e^{-6t} + \left(\frac{11\pi}{4p} - \frac{\alpha_1}{24p^2 - 6} \right) e^{-24p^2 t} + \int_0^t e^{24p^2(\tau - t)} h(\tau) d\tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

Estimate (6.1) follows directly from (6.11).

□

7. Proof of Theorem 6.2

In this section we will prove Theorem 6.2.

7.1. **Fourier decomposition.** The solutions of the heat equation

$$\partial_t S - \partial_{xx} S = 0$$

with periodic boundary condition and corresponding initial conditions $S(t, x; \chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\sin p\xi))$ and $S(t, x; \chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}}(\cos 2p\xi))$ can be represented as

$$S(t, x; \chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}} \sin p\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_1(k) \sin kx e^{-k^2 t}; \tag{7.1}$$

$$S(t, x; -\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}} \cos 2p\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_1(k) \cos kx e^{-k^2 t}, \tag{7.2}$$

where

$$a_1(k) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{2p \sin \frac{\pi k}{p}}{\pi(p^2 - k^2)}, k \neq p, \\ \dfrac{1}{p}, k = p \end{cases} \tag{7.3}$$

and

$$b_1(k) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{2k \sin \frac{\pi k}{p}}{\pi(4p^2 - k^2)}, k \neq 2p, \\ -\dfrac{1}{p}, k = 2p \end{cases} \tag{7.4}$$

are the Fourier coefficients of $\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}} \sin p\xi$ and $(-\chi_{\frac{\pi}{p}} \cos 2p\xi)$ correspondingly.

Therefore, $\tilde{J}(t)$ is equal to

$$\tilde{J}(t) = \sum_{k,l,m=1}^{\infty} a_1(k)a_1(m)b_1(l)e^{-(k^2+l^2+m^2)t} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin kx \sin mx \cos lx dx =$$

$$\frac{1}{4} \sum_{k,l,m=1}^{\infty} a_1(k)a_1(m)b_1(l)e^{-(k^2+l^2+m^2)t} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (\cos(l+k-m)x +$$

$$\cos(l-k+m)x - \cos(l+k+m)x - \cos(l-k-m)x)\, dx$$

Since

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos nx dx = \begin{cases} 0, n \neq 0, \\ 2\pi, n = 0, \end{cases}$$

we get that

$$\tilde{J}(t) = \frac{4p^2}{\pi^2} J(t),$$

where

$$J(t) = \sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty} \left(2A(k)A(k+l)B(l) - A(k)A(l)B(k+l)\right) e^{-(k^2+l^2+(k+l)^2)t}, \tag{7.5}$$

$$A(k) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{\sin \frac{\pi k}{p}}{p^2 - k^2}, k \neq p, \\ \dfrac{\pi}{2p^2}, k = p \end{cases} \tag{7.6}$$

$$B(k) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{k \sin \frac{\pi k}{p}}{4p^2 - k^2}, k \neq 2p, \\ -\dfrac{\pi}{2p}, k = 2p \end{cases} \tag{7.7}$$

Theorem 6.2 follows directly from the following statement:

Theorem 7.1. *Let $J(t)$ be the function defined in* (7.5)-(7.7). *Then*

$$J(t) > \frac{3 \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{p} \sin \frac{2\pi}{p}}{2p^8} e^{-6t} \ \forall t > 0, \tag{7.8}$$

The proof of Theorem 7.1 is similar to the proof of Theorem 5.1. First let us determine how the signs of the summands in (7.5) are distributed.

We will need the following lemma, directly following from definitions (7.6) and (7.7) of functions $A(k)$ and $B(k)$:

Lemma 7.1. *Let $A(k), k \in \mathbb{N}$ be the function, defined in* (7.6) *and $B(k), k \in \mathbb{N}$ be the function, defined in* (7.7). *Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&i)\ A(k) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad k = pl \quad \text{where} \quad l \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\},\\
&\quad B(k) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad k = pl \quad \text{where} \quad l \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{2\},\\
&ii)\ A(k) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad k \in (0,p) \cup (p,2p) \cup \{\cup_{l\in\mathbb{N}}((2l+1)p,(2l+2)p)\\
&\quad B(k) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad k \in (0,p) \cup \{\cup_{l\in\mathbb{N}}((2l+1)p,(2l+2)p)\}\\
&iii)\ A(k) < 0 \quad \text{for} \quad k \in \cup_{l\in\mathbb{N}}(2lp,(2l+1)p)\\
&\quad B(k) < 0 \quad \text{for} \quad k \in (p,2p) \cup (2p,3p) \cup_{l\in\mathbb{N}} (2lp,(2l+1)p)
\end{aligned}$$

The next two statements follow directly from Lemma 7.1:

Lemma 7.2. *The signs of function $A(k)A(k+l)B(l)$ from* (7.5) *are distributed as follows:*

i) In every square $\{(k,l) \in (pa,p(a+1))\times(pb,p(b+1))\}$, where $a \in \mathbb{N}, b \in \mathbb{N}, b \geq 2$

$$\operatorname{sign}(A(k)A(k+l)B(l)) = \begin{cases} -, & \text{if } k+l < p(a+b+1) \\ +, & \text{if } k+l > p(a+b+1) \end{cases} \tag{7.9}$$

ii) In every set $\{(k,l) \in (pa,p(a+1)) \times (pb,p(b+1))\}$, where $a \in \mathbb{N}$, $b \in \{0,1\}$

$$\operatorname{sign}(A(k)A(k+l)B(l)) = \begin{cases} +, & \text{if } k+l < p(a+b+1) \\ -, & \text{if } k+l > p(a+b+1) \end{cases} \tag{7.10}$$

iii) In every set $\{(k,l) \in (0,p) \times (pa,p(a+1))\}$, $a \in \mathbb{N}, a \geq 2$

$$\operatorname{sign}(A(k)A(k+l)B(l)) = \begin{cases} +, & \text{if } k+l < p(a+1) \\ -, & \text{if } k+l > p(a+1) \end{cases} \tag{7.11}$$

iv) In the square $\{(k,l) \in (0,p) \times (p,2p)\}$

$$\operatorname{sign}(A(k)A(k+l)B(l)) = \begin{cases} -, & \text{if } k+l < 2p \\ +, & \text{if } k+l > 2p \end{cases} \tag{7.12}$$

v) For all $(k,l) \in (0,p) \times (0,p)$

$$A(k)A(k+l)B(l) > 0. \tag{7.13}$$

Lemma 7.3. *The signs of function $A(k)A(l)B(k+l)$ from* (7.5) *are distributed as follows:*

i) In every square $\{(k,l) \in (pa,p(a+1)) \times (pb,p(b+1))\}$, where $a,b \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\operatorname{sign}(A(k)A(l)B(k+l)) = \begin{cases} -, & \text{if } k+l < p(a+b+1) \\ +, & \text{if } k+l > p(a+b+1) \end{cases} \tag{7.14}$$

ii) In every set $\{(k,l) \in (pa,p(a+1)) \times (0,p) \cup (0,p) \times (pa,p(a+1))\}$, where $a \in \mathbb{N}, a \geq 2$,

$$\operatorname{sign}(A(k)A(l)B(k+l)) = \begin{cases} +, & \text{if } k+l < p(a+1) \\ -, & \text{if } k+l > p(a+1) \end{cases} \tag{7.15}$$

iii) For all $(k,l) \in (p,2p) \times (0,p) \cup (0,p) \times (p,2p)$

$$A(k)A(l)B(k+l) < 0, \tag{7.16}$$

iv) In the square $\{(k,l) \in (0,p) \times (0,p)\}$,

$$\operatorname{sign}(A(k)A(l)B(k+l)) = \begin{cases} +, & \text{if } k+l < p \\ -, & \text{if } k+l > p \end{cases} \tag{7.17}$$

7.2. **Proof of Theorem 7.1: first step.** Let us denote the set of all points from $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ belonging to the triangle with vertices at points $(k_1,l_1), (k_2,l_2), (k_3,l_3)$ by $\{(k_1,l_1), (k_2,l_2), (k_3,l_3)\}$ (the boundary of the triangle is not included in this set).

For each set $F \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $J(F,t)$ the sum of the summands in (7.5) with $(k,l) \in F$.

One of our nearest aims is to prove the following statement:

Lemma 7.4. *The following inequality is true:*

$$\begin{aligned} &J(\{(0,0),(0,p),(p,0)\},t)+ \\ &J(\{(0,p),(0,2p),(p,p)\},t) + J(\{(p,0),(2p,0),(p,p)\},t)+ \\ &J(\{(0,2p),(0,3p),(p,2p)\},t) + J(\{(2p,0),(3p,0),(2p,p)\},t)+ \\ &\sum_{a=3}^{+\infty} (J(\{(p,pa),(p,p(a+1)),(0,p(a+1))\},t) \\ &\qquad + J(\{(pa,p),(p(a+1),p),(p(a+1),0)\},t)) > \frac{3\sin^2\frac{\pi}{p}\sin\frac{2\pi}{p}}{2p^8} e^{-6t} \ \forall t > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{7.18}$$

Then we shall show that the remaining part of the sum $J(t)$ is positive, which will complete the proof of Theorem 7.1.

Proof. First, let us consider the summands, corresponding to $(k,l) \in \{(0,0),(0,p),(p,0)\}$, $k = l$:

$$\begin{aligned} &(2A(k)A(2k)B(k) - A^2(k)B(2k))e^{-6k^2t} = \\ &\frac{\sin^2\frac{\pi k}{p}\sin\frac{2\pi k}{p} \cdot (4p^4 + p^2k^2 + 4k^4)}{4(p^2-k^2)^3(p^2-4k^2)(4p^2-k^2)} \cdot e^{-6k^2t} \end{aligned} \tag{7.19}$$

Obviously, for $k \in [1, p-1]$ the expression in the right hand side of (7.19) is positive. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} (2A(k)A(2k)B(k) - A^2(k)B(2k))e^{-6k^2t} > \\ &(2A(1)A(2)B(1) - A^2(1)B(2))e^{-6t} > \frac{3\sin^2\frac{\pi}{p}\sin\frac{2\pi}{p}}{2p^8} e^{-6t} \ \forall t > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{7.20}$$

Next, let us group together the summands in (7.5) corresponding to points with coordinates (k,l) and (l,k), $l \neq k$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(2A(k)A(k+l)B(l) - A(k)A(l)B(k+l) \\
&+2A(l)A(l+k)B(k) - A(l)A(k)B(l+k))\, e^{-2(k^2+l^2+kl)t} = \\
&2(A(k)A(k+l)B(l) + A(l)A(k+l)B(k) - A(k)A(l)B(k+l))e^{-2(k^2+l^2+kl)t} = \\
&\frac{6p^2kl(k+l)(12p^2+kl-(k+l)^2)\sin\frac{\pi k}{p}\sin\frac{\pi l}{p}\sin\frac{\pi(k+l)}{p}\cdot e^{-2(k^2+l^2+kl)t}}{(p^2-k^2)(p^2-l^2)(p^2-(k+l)^2)(4p^2-k^2)(4p^2-l^2)(4p^2-(k+l)^2)}
\end{aligned} \tag{7.21}$$

Let us perform the change of variables $(k,l) \to (x,y)$ by formulas $k = px, l = py$ and consider the following function:

$$K(x,y) := \frac{6xy(x+y)(12+xy-(x+y)^2)\sin\pi x\sin\pi y\sin\pi(x+y)}{(1-x^2)(1-y^2)(1-(x+y)^2)(4-x^2)(4-y^2)(4-(x+y)^2)}. \tag{7.22}$$

Obviously, for (x,y) belonging to each from the sets

$$\{x \in (0,1), y \in (0,1) : x+y<1\},$$
$$\{x \in (1,2), y \in (0,1) : x+y<2\} \cup \{x \in (0,1), y \in (1,2) : x+y<2\},$$
$$\{x \in (2,3), y \in (0,1) : x+y<3\} \cup \{x \in (0,1), y \in (2,3) : x+y<3\}$$

both the numerator and the denominator of $K(x,y)$ are positive.

Since for every square $(x,y) \in \{(a,a+1)\times(b,b+1)\}, a,b \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\operatorname{sign}\sin\pi x\sin\pi y\sin\pi(x+y) = \begin{cases} +, & \text{if } x+y < a+b+1 \\ -, & \text{if } x+y > a+b+1, \end{cases}$$

and $12+xy-(x+y)^2$ is negative for

$$(x,y) \in \{(3;+\infty)\times(0,1)\} \cup \{(0,1)\times(3;+\infty)\}$$

such that $x+y>4$, $K(x,y)$ is positive for (x,y) in every set

$$\{(1,a);(1,a+1);(0,a+1)\} \cup \{(a,1);(a+1,1);(a+1,0)\}.$$

Together with (7.20) this implies (7.18) □

Now let us rewrite the series $J(t)$ as

$$J(t) = 2I(t) + L(t),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I(t) &= \sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty} F_1(k,l;t), \\
F_1(k,l;t) &:= A(k)A(k+l)B(l)e^{-(k^2+l^2+(k+l)^2)t},
\end{aligned} \tag{7.23}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
L(t) &= \sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty} F_2(k,l;t), \\
F_2(k,l;t) &:= -A(k)A(l)B(k+l)e^{-(k^2+l^2+(k+l)^2)t}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.24}$$

7.3. **Positiveness of $L(t)$.** Let us show, that the remaining part of the sum $L(t)$ is positive. Similarly to notation $J(F,t)$ introduced above, we denote by $L(F,t)$ the sum of the summands in (7.24) with $(k,l) \in F$ where $F \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$.

First, we shall prove that $L(\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : m,n > p\},t) > 0$:

Lemma 7.5. *Let $a,b,p \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &L(\{(pa,pb),(p(a+1),pb),(pa,p(b+1))\},t)+ \\ &L(\{(pa,p(b+1)),(p(a+1),pb),(p(a+1),p(b+1))\},t) > 0 \end{aligned} \tag{7.25}$$

for every $t \geq 0$.

Proof. According to Lemma 7.3, the summands in

$$L(\{(pa,pb),(p(a+1),pb),(pa,p(b+1))\},t)$$

are positive, and the summands in

$$L(\{(pa,p(b+1)),(p(a+1),pb),(p(a+1),p(b+1))\},t)$$

are negative. If we show that the absolute values of positive summands are not less than the absolute values of negative summands, it would imply the positiveness of (7.25).

Let us perform the change of variables $k = pa + k_1,\ l = pb + l_1$ in (7.25). Then

$$L(\{(pa,pb),(p(a+1),pb),(pa,p(b+1))\},t) = \sum_{\substack{k_1,l_1=1 \\ k_1+l_1<p}}^{p-1} F_2(pa+k_1,pb+l_1;t) \tag{7.26}$$

$$L(\{(pa,p(b+1)),(p(a+1),pb),(p(a+1),p(b+1))\},t) = \sum_{\substack{k_1,l_1=1 \\ k_1+l_1>p}}^{p-1} F_2(pa+k_1,pb+m_1;t) \tag{7.27}$$

Let us perform the change of variables $s = p - k_1 - l_1,\ k = k_1$ in (7.26) and the change of variables $s = k_1 + l_1 - p,\ k = k_1 - s$ in (7.27) and consider the following relation:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{F_2(pa+k,p(b+1)-k-s;t)}{|F_2(pa+k+s,p(b+1)-k;t)|} = \\ &\frac{A_1(pa+k)A_1(p(b+1)-k-s)B_1(p(a+b+1)-s)}{|A_1(pa+k+s)A_1(p(b+1)-k)B_1(p(a+b+1)+s)|} \cdot e^{6(a+b+1)ps} < \\ &\frac{A_1(pa+k)A_1(p(b+1)-k-s)B_1(p(a+b+1)-s)}{|A_1(pa+k+s)A_1(p(b+1)-k)B_1(p(a+b+1)+s)|}, \end{aligned} \tag{7.28}$$

where

$$A_1(k) := \frac{1}{k^2-p^2} \tag{7.29}$$

$$B_1(k) := \frac{k}{k^2-4p^2} \tag{7.30}$$

Since $A_1(k)$ is decreasing for $k > p$ and $B_1(k)$ is decreasing for $k > 2p$, the numerator of the fraction in the last line of (7.28) is greater than the denominator, therefore, the fraction is greater than one and $L(\{(pa,pb),(p(a+1),pb),(pa,p(b+1))\},t)$ is greater than $|L(\{(pa,p(b+1)),(p(a+1),pb),(p(a+1),p(b+1))\},t)|$. $\square$

Lemma 7.6. *The following inequality is true:*

$$\begin{gathered} L(\{(0,p),(p,0),(p,p)\},t)+ \\ \sum_{a=3}^{+\infty} L(\{(pa,0),(p(a+1),0),(pa,p)\},t)+ \\ \sum_{a=3}^{+\infty} L(\{(0,pa),(0,p(a+1)),(p,pa)\},t) > 0. \end{gathered} \tag{7.31}$$

Proof. Because of symmetry, inequality (7.31) is equivalent to the following:

$$\begin{gathered} L(\{(0,p),(p,0),(p,p)\},t)+ \\ 2\sum_{a=3}^{+\infty} L(\{(pa,0),(p(a+1),0),(pa,p)\},t) > 0. \end{gathered}$$

Let us rewrite sum $L(\{(0,p),(p,0),(p,p)\},t)$ as

$$L(\{(0,p),(p,0),(p,p)\},t) = \sum_{m,l=1}^{p-1} F_2(p-m,l+m;t) \tag{7.32}$$

and $L(\{(pa,0),(p(a+1),0),(pa,p)\},t)$ as

$$L(\{(pa,0),(p(a+1),0),(pa,p)\},t) = \sum_{m,l=1}^{p-1} F_2(pa+m,l;t). \tag{7.33}$$

According to Lemma 7.3, all the summands in (7.32) are positive, and all the summands in (7.33) are negative. Let us consider the absolute value of the ratio of the summands from (7.33) to the summands from (7.32) corresponding to the same m, l:

$$\begin{gathered} \frac{|F_2(pa+m,l;t)|}{F_2(p-m,l+m;t)} \le \frac{|A(pa+m)A(l)B(pa+m+l)|}{A(p-m)A(l+m)B(p+l)} = \\ \frac{3p-l}{(p+l)^2} \cdot \frac{k(2p-k)}{(p(a-1)+k)(p(a+1)+k)} \cdot \frac{(p-k-l)(p+k+l)(pa+k+l)}{(p(a-2)+k+l)(p(a+2)+k+l)} = \\ f_1(x)f_2(y)f_3(z), \end{gathered} \tag{7.34}$$

where $x = m/p$, $y = l/p$, $z = x + y$, $x, y, z \in (0,1)$, and

$$f_1(x) = \frac{x(2-x)}{(a-1+x)(a+1+x)},$$

$$f_2(y) = \frac{3-y}{(1+y)^2},$$

$$f_3(z) = \frac{(1-z^2)(a+z)}{(a+2+z)(a-2+z)}.$$

It is easy to see, that functions $f_2(y)$ and $f_3(z)$ decrease, therefore,

$$\begin{gathered} f_1(x)f_2(y)f_3(z) < f_1(x)f_2(0)f_3(x) = \\ 3 \cdot \frac{x(2-x)(1-x^2)(a+x)}{(a+2+x)(a-2+x)(a-1+x)(a+1+x)}. \end{gathered}$$

Let us consider function $g(x) = x(2-x)(1-x^2)$. Since $g'(x) = 2(2x-1)(x^2-x-1)$, it reaches its maximum on the interval $(0,1)$ at $x = 1/2$, $g(1/2) = 9/16$. Therefore, we finally get

$$\frac{2\sum_{a=3}^{+\infty}|F_2(pa+m,l;t)|}{F_2(p-m,l+m;t)} < 2\sum_{a=3}^{+\infty} f_1(x)f_2(y)f_3(z) <$$
$$2\sum_{a=3}^{+\infty} 3\cdot\frac{x(2-x)(1-x^2)(a+x)}{(a+2+x)(a-2+x)(a-1+x)(a+1+x)} <$$
$$\sum_{a=3}^{+\infty}\frac{27a}{8(a+2)(a-2)(a+1)(a-1)} = \frac{27}{32} < 1,$$

which completes the proof of (7.31). □

7.4. **Positiveness of the remaining part of $I(t)$ together with certain positive summands from $L(t)$.** Let us introduce set $H \subset \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$, defined as follows:

$$H = \{(0,3p),(p,3p),(p,2p)\}\cup\{(p,2p),(2p,2p)(2p,p)\}\cup \left[\bigcup_{a=1}^{\infty}\{(pa,p),(pa,2p),(p(a+1),p)\}\right] \tag{7.35}$$

First, let us prove the positiveness of the sum $I(\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}\setminus H,t)$. It follows from the next four lemmas.

Lemma 7.7. *Let b be a natural number, $b \geq 3$. Then the following inequality holds:*

$$I(\{(0,pb),(0,p(b+1)),(p,pb)\},t)+ \sum_{a=2}^{+\infty} I(\{(pa,pb),(pa,p(b+1)),(p(a+1),pb),t\}) > 0,\ \forall t > 0. \tag{7.36}$$

Proof. According to Lemma 7.2, the summands in $I(\{(0,pb),(0,p(b+1)),(p,pb)\},t)$ are positive and the summands in $I(\{(pa,pb),(pa,p(b+1)),(p(a+1),pb),t\})$, $b \geq 3$, $a \geq 2$, are negative. Let us rewrite these sums as

$$I(\{(0,pb),(0,p(b+1)),(p,pb)\},t) = \sum_{\substack{k,l=1\\ k+l<p}}^{p-1} F_1(k,bp+l;t), \tag{7.37}$$

and

$$I(\{(pa,pb),(pa,p(b+1)),(p(a+1),pb),t\}) = \sum_{\substack{k,l=1\\ k+l<p}}^{p-1} F_1(pa+k,bp+l;t), \tag{7.38}$$

and consider the absolute value of the ratio of negative summands from (7.38) to positive summands from (7.37) corresponding to the same (k,l):

$$\frac{|F_1(pa+k,pb+l;t)|}{F_1(k,bp+l;t)} < \frac{|B(pb+l)A(p(a+b)+k+l)|}{A(k)A(pb+k+l)} = f_1(x)\cdot f_2(z), \tag{7.39}$$

where $x = k/p$, $z = (k+l)/p$, $x, z \in (0,1)$ and

$$f_1(x) = \frac{(x+1)(1-x)}{(a-1+x)(a+1+x)},$$

$$f_2(z) = \frac{b-1+z}{b+1+z} \cdot \frac{a+b-1+z}{a+b+1+z}.$$

Since

$$f_1'(x) = -\frac{2a(1+ax+x^2)}{(a+1+x)^2(a-1+x)^2} < 0,\ x \in (0,1),$$

function $f_1(x)$ decreases on $(0,1)$, and $f_1(x) < f_1(0)$.

It is easy to see, that $f_2(z)$ increases when $z \in (0,1)$, therefore,

$$\frac{|F_1(pa+k, pb+l; t)|}{F_1(k, bp+l; t)} < \frac{|B(pb+l)A(p(a+b)+k+l)|}{A(k)A(pb+k+l)} =$$
$$f_1(x) \cdot f_2(z) < f_1(0) \cdot f_2(1) = \frac{b(b+2)}{(a-1)(a+1)(a+b)(a+b+2)},$$

and

$$\frac{\sum_{a=2}^{+\infty} |F_1(pa+k, pb+l; t)|}{F_1(k, bp+l; t)} < \sum_{a=2}^{+\infty} \frac{b(b+2)}{(a-1)(a+1)(a+b)(a+b+2)} <$$
$$\sum_{a=2}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(a-1)(a+1)a^2} = \frac{1}{12}(21 - 2\pi^2) < 1.$$

□

Lemma 7.8. *The following inequalities hold:*

$$\frac{1}{3} I(\{(0,p),(p,0),(p,p)\}, t) + I(\{(p,p),(2p,0),(2p,p)\}, t) > 0 \tag{7.40}$$

$$\frac{1}{15} I(\{(0,p),(p,0),(p,p)\}, t) + I(\{(2p,p),(3p,0),(3p,p)\}, t) > 0 \tag{7.41}$$

Proof. According to Lemma 7.2, the summands in $I(\{(0,p),(p,0),(p,p)\}, t)$ are positive and the summands in $I(\{(pa,p),(p(a+1),0),(p(a+1),p)\}, t)$, $a = 1, 2$, are negative. Let us rewrite these sums as

$$I(\{(0,p),(p,0),(p,p)\}, t) = \sum_{\substack{k,l=1 \\ k+l<p}}^{p-1} F_1(p-k, p-l; t),$$

and

$$I(\{(pa,p),(p(a+1),0),(p(a+1),p)\}, t) = \sum_{\substack{k,l=1 \\ k+l<p}}^{p-1} F_1(p(a+1)-k, p-l; t),$$

and consider the ratio of a negative summand to a positive summand corresponding to the same (k,l):

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{|F_1(p(a+1)-k,p-l;t)|}{F_1(p-k,p-l;t)}=\\&\frac{|A((a+1)p-k)A((a+2)p-k-l)|}{A(p-k)A(2p-k-l)}\cdot e^{-2ap((3+a)p-2k-l)t}<\\&f_1(x)\cdot f_2(x+y),\end{aligned}\tag{7.42}$$

where $x=k/p$, $y=l/p$, $x,y\in(0,1)$, $x+y<1$ and

$$f_1(x)=\frac{x(2-x)}{(a-x)((a+2)-x)},$$

$$f_2(x+y)=\frac{1-x-y}{a+1-x-y}\cdot\frac{3-x-y}{a+3-x-y}.$$

It is easy to see, that function $f_2(x+y)$ decreases as a function of y on $(0,1)$, therefore

$$f_2(x+y)<f_2(x+0)=\frac{1-x}{a+1-x}\cdot\frac{3-x}{a+3-x},$$

and

$$f_1(x)f_2(x+y)<f_1(x)f_2(x)=\frac{x(2-x)(1-x)(3-x)}{(a-x)(a+2-x)(a+1-x)(a+3-x)}.\tag{7.43}$$

When $a=1$,

$$f_1(x)f_2(x)=\frac{x}{4-x}<\frac{1}{3},\tag{7.44}$$

and for $a=2$

$$f_1(x)f_2(x)=\frac{x}{4-x}\cdot\frac{1-x}{5-x}<\frac{1}{3}\cdot\frac{1}{5}=\frac{1}{15}.\tag{7.45}$$

Relations (7.42), (7.44), and (7.45) imply, (7.40), (7.41). □

Lemma 7.9. *The following inequality holds:*

$$I(\{(p,p),(2p,p),(p,2p)\},t)+\sum_{a=4}^{+\infty}I(\{(p,pa),(2p,pa),(p,p(a+1))\},t)>0\tag{7.46}$$

Proof. According to Lemma 7.2, the summands in $I(\{(p,p),(2p,p),(p,2p)\},t)$ are positive and the summands in $I(\{(p,pa),(2p,pa),(p,p(a+1))\},t)$, $a\geq 4$, are negative. Let us rewrite these sums as

$$I(\{(p,p),(2p,p),(p,2p)\},t)=\sum_{\substack{k,l=1\\k+l<p}}^{p-1}F_1(p+k,p+l;t),\tag{7.47}$$

and

$$I(\{(p,pa),(2p,pa),(p,p(a+1))\},t)=\sum_{\substack{k,l=1\\k+l<p}}^{p-1}F_1(p+k,pa+l;t),\tag{7.48}$$

and consider the absolute value of the ratio of negative summands from (7.48) to positive summands from (7.47) corresponding to the same (k,l):

$$\frac{|F_1(p+k,pa+l;t)|}{F_1(p+k,p+l;t)} < \frac{|B(pa+l)A(p(a+1)+k+l)|}{A(p+k)A(2p+k+l)} = f_1(x)\cdot f_2(z), \tag{7.49}$$

where $x=k/p$, $z=(k+l)/p$, $x,z\in(0,1)$ and

$$f_1(x)=\frac{(a+x)}{(1+x)}\cdot\frac{1-x}{a-2+x}\frac{3+x}{a+2+x},$$

$$f_2(z)=\frac{1+z}{a+z}\cdot\frac{a+2+z}{3+z}.$$

It is easy to see, that for $a\geq 4$ all multiples in $f_1(x)$ are decreasing functions, and all multiples in $f_2(z)$ are increasing functions, $x,z\in(0,1)$, therefore,

$$\frac{|F_1(p+k,pa+l;t)|}{F_1(p+k,p+l;t)} < f_1(x)\cdot f_2(z) < f_1(0)f_2(1)=\frac{24a}{(a^2-4)(a+1)(a+3)},$$

and

$$\frac{\sum_{a=4}^{\infty}|F_1(p+k,pa+l;t)|}{F_1(p+k,p+l;t)} < \sum_{a=4}^{\infty}\frac{24a}{(a^2-4)(a+1)(a+3)}=\frac{47}{75}<1,$$

which completes the proof of (7.46) □

Lemma 7.10. *The following inequality holds:*

$$I(\{(0,2p),(p,p),(p,2p)\},t)+\sum_{a=3}^{+\infty}I(\{(p(a-1),2p),(pa,p),(pa,2p)\},t)+ I(\{(p,3p),(p,4p),(2p,3p)\},t)>0 \tag{7.50}$$

Proof. According to Lemma 7.2, the summands in $I(\{(0,2p),(p,p),(p,2p)\},t)$ are positive and the summands in $I(\{(p(a-1),2p),(pa,p),(pa,2p)\},t)$, $a\geq 3$, and $I(\{(p,3p),(p,4p),(2p,3p)\},t)$ are negative. Let us first consider $I(\{(0,2p),(p,p),(p,2p)\},t)$ and $I(\{(p(a-1),2p),(pa,p),(pa,2p)\},t)$ and rewrite these sums as

$$I(\{(0,2p),(p,p),(p,2p)\},t)=\sum_{\substack{k,l=1\\k+l<p}}^{p-1}F_1(p-k,2p-l;t), \tag{7.51}$$

and

$$I(\{(p(a-1),2p),(pa,p),(pa,2p)\},t)=\sum_{\substack{k,l=1\\k+l<p}}^{p-1}F_1(ap-k,2p-l;t). \tag{7.52}$$

The absolute value of the ratio of negative summands from (7.52) to positive summands from (7.51) corresponding to the same (k,l) can be estimated as follows:

$$\frac{|F_1(ap-k,2p-l;t)|}{F_1(p-k,2p-l;t)} < \frac{|A(ap-k)A(p(a+2)-k-l)|}{A(p-k)A(3p-k-l)} = f_1(x)\cdot f_2(z), \tag{7.53}$$

where $x = k/p$, $z = (k+l)/p$, $x, z \in (0,1)$ and

$$f_1(x) = \frac{x(2-x)}{(a-1-x)(a+1-x)},$$

$$f_2(z) = \frac{2-z}{a+1-z} \cdot \frac{4-z}{a+3-z}.$$

It is easy to see, that for $a \geq 3$ all multiples in $f_2(z)$ are decreasing functions on $(0,1)$, therefore $f_2(z) < f_2(0)$. Next,

$$f_1'(x) = \frac{2(a-1)(x^2-(a+1)x+a+1)}{(a-1-x)^2(a+1-x)^2}. \tag{7.54}$$

Let us consider the expression in the numerator of (7.54):

$$g(x) = x^2 - (a+1)x + a + 1.$$

The graph of this function is a parabola with vertex at $x_v = \frac{a+1}{2} > 1$. Since $g(1) = 1 > 0$, this function is positive on the interval $(0,1)$, therefore, $f_1'(x) > 0$, $x \in (0,1)$, and $f_1(x)$ increases. So,

$$\frac{|F_1(ap-k, 2p-l; t)|}{F_1(p-k, 2p-l; t)} < f_1(x) \cdot f_2(z) < f_1(1) f_2(0) = \frac{8}{a(a-2)(a+1)(a+3)},$$

and

$$\frac{\sum_{a=3}^{\infty} |F_1(ap-k, 2p-l; t)|}{F_1(p-k, 2p-l; t)} < \sum_{a=3}^{\infty} \frac{8}{a(a-2)(a+1)(a+3)} = \frac{37}{225}. \tag{7.55}$$

Now let us consider $I(\{(0,2p),(p,p),(p,2p)\},t)$ and $I(\{(p,3p),(p,4p),(2p,3p)\},t)$ and rewrite these sums as rewrite these sums as

$$I(\{(0,2p),(p,p),(p,2p)\},t) = \sum_{\substack{k,l=1 \\ k+l<p}}^{p-1} F_1(p-k, 2p-l; t), \tag{7.56}$$

and

$$I(\{(p,3p),(p,4p),(2p,3p)\},t) = \sum_{\substack{k,l=1 \\ k+l<p}}^{p-1} F_1(p+k, 3p+l; t). \tag{7.57}$$

The absolute value of the ratio of negative summands from (7.57) to positive summands from (7.56) corresponding to the same (k,l) can be estimated as follows:

$$\frac{|F_1(p+k, 3p+l; t)|}{F_1(p-k, 2p-l; t)} < \frac{|A(p+k)B(3p+l)A(4p+k+l)|}{A(p-k)B(2p-l)A(3p-k-l)} = f_1(x) \cdot f_2(y) \cdot f_3(z), \tag{7.58}$$

where $x = k/p$, $y = l/p$, $z = x + y$, $x, y, z \in (0,1)$ and

$$f_1(x) = \frac{2-x}{2+x},$$

$$f_2(y) = \frac{4-y}{2-y} \cdot \frac{y}{1+y} \cdot \frac{3+y}{5+y},$$

$$f_3(z) = \frac{(2-z)(4-z)}{(3+z)(5+z)}.$$

It is easy to see, that functions $f_1(x)$, $f_3(z)$ decrease, $x, z \in (0,1)$, and function $f_2(y)$ increases, $y \in (0,1)$. Therefore,

$$\frac{|F_1(p+k,3p+l;t)|}{F_1(p-k,2p-l;t)} < f_1(x) \cdot f_2(y) \cdot f_3(z) < f_1(0) f_2(1) f_3(0) = \frac{8}{15},$$

which, together with (7.55), completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemmas 7.7-7.10 imply

Corollary 7.1. *The following inequality is true:*

$$I(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \setminus H, t) > 0, \tag{7.59}$$

where the set H is defined in (7.35).

The next three lemmas prove, that the positive sum

$$L(\{(p,p),(2p,0),(2p,p)\},t) + L(\{(p,p),(0,2p),(p,2p)\},t)$$

compensates for the remaining negative sum $I(H,t)$, where H is the set defined in (7.35).

Lemma 7.11. *The following inequality holds:*

$$\begin{gathered}\frac{13}{48}\left(L(\{(p,p),(2p,0),(2p,p)\},t) + L(\{(p,p),(0,2p),(p,2p)\},t)\right) + \\ 2\sum_{a=1}^{+\infty} I(\{(pa,2p),(pa,3p),(p(a+1),2p)\},t) > 0.\end{gathered} \tag{7.60}$$

Proof. Because of the symmetry,

$$L(\{(p,p),(2p,0),(2p,p)\},t) = L(\{(p,p),(0,2p),(p,2p)\},t)$$

, therefore, inequality (7.60) is equivalent to the following:

$$\frac{13}{48} \cdot L(\{(p,p),(0,2p),(p,2p)\},t) + \sum_{a=1}^{+\infty} I(\{(pa,2p),(pa,3p),(p(a+1),2p)\},t) > 0 \tag{7.61}$$

Let us rewrite these sums as

$$L(\{(p,p),(0,2p),(p,2p)\},t) = \sum_{\substack{k,l=1 \\ k+l<p}}^{p-1} F_2(p-k,p+k+l;t), \tag{7.62}$$

and

$$I(\{(pa,2p),(pa,3p),(p(a+1),2p)\},t) = \sum_{\substack{k,l=1 \\ k+l<p}}^{p-1} F_1(ap+k,2p+l;t). \tag{7.63}$$

The absolute value of the ratio of negative summands from (7.63) to positive summands from (7.62) corresponding to the same (k,l) can be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{gathered}\frac{|F_1(ap+k,2p+l;t)|}{F_2(p-k,p+k+l;t)} < \frac{|A(ap+k)A(p(a+2)+k+l)|}{-A(p-k)A(p+k+l)} = \\ f_1(x) \cdot f_2(z),\end{gathered} \tag{7.64}$$

where $x = k/p$, $z = (k+l)/p$, $x, z \in (0,1)$ and

$$f_1(x) = \frac{x}{a-1+x} \cdot \frac{2-x}{a+1+x},$$
$$f_2(z) = \frac{z}{a+1+z} \cdot \frac{2+z}{a+3+z}.$$

It is easy to see, that for $a \geq 1$ all multiples in $f_2(z)$ are increasing functions on $(0,1)$, therefore $f_2(z) < f_2(1)$. Next, since the first multiple in $f_1(x)$ is a non-decreasing function, and the second is a decreasing function,

$$f_1(x) < \frac{1}{a} \cdot \frac{2}{a+1},$$

therefore,

$$\frac{|F_1(ap+k, 2p+l; t)|}{F_2(p-k, p+k+l; t)} < f_1(x) \cdot f_2(z) < \frac{6}{a(a+1)(a+2)(a+4)},$$

and

$$\frac{\sum_{a=1}^{\infty} |F_1(ap+k, 2p+l; t)|}{F_2(p-k, p+k+l; t)} < \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \frac{6}{a(a+1)(a+2)(a+4)} = \frac{13}{48}.$$

which completes the proof of (7.61) and (7.60). □

Lemma 7.12. *The following inequality holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{5}\left(L(\{(p,p),(2p,0),(2p,p)\},t) + L(\{(p,p),(0,2p),(p,2p)\},t)\right) + \\ &2I(\{(0,3p),(p,3p),(p,2p)\},t) > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{7.65}$$

Proof. Because of the symmetry,

$$L(\{(p,p),(2p,0),(2p,p)\},t) = L(\{(p,p),(0,2p),(p,2p)\},t)$$

, therefore, inequality (7.65) is equivalent to the following:

$$\frac{1}{5}L(\{(p,p),(0,2p),(p,2p)\},t) + I(\{(0,3p),(p,3p),(p,2p)\},t) > 0 \tag{7.66}$$

Let us rewrite these sums as

$$L(\{(p,p),(0,2p),(p,2p)\},t) = \sum_{\substack{k,l=1 \\ k+l<p}}^{p-1} F_2(p-k, 2p-l; t), \tag{7.67}$$

and

$$I(\{(0,3p),(p,3p),(p,2p)\},t) = \sum_{\substack{k,l=1 \\ k+l<p}}^{p-1} F_1(p-k, 3p-l; t), \tag{7.68}$$

and consider the ratio of negative summands from (7.63) to positive summands from (7.62) corresponding to the same (k,l):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|F_1(p-k, 3p-l; t)|}{F_2(p-k, 2p-l; t)} < &\frac{|B(3p-l)A(4p-k-l)|}{-A(2p-l)B(3p-k-l)} = \\ &f_1(x) \cdot f_2(z), \end{aligned} \tag{7.69}$$

where $x = k/p$, $z = (k+l)/p$, $x, z \in (0,1)$ and

$$f_1(x) = \frac{(3-x)^2}{5-x},$$

$$f_2(z) = \frac{1-z}{(3-z)^2}.$$

Since

$$f_1'(x) = -\frac{(3-x)(7-x)}{(5-x)^2} < 0,\ x \in (0,1),$$
$$f_2'(z) = -\frac{1+z}{(3-x)^3} < 0,\ z \in (0,1),$$

both functions decrease on $(0,1)$, therefore,

$$\frac{|F_1(p-k,3p-l;t)|}{F_2(p-k,2p-l;t)} < f_1(x)f_2(z) < f_1(0)f_2(0) = \frac{1}{5},$$

which completes the proof of (7.66) and (7.65). □

Lemma 7.13. *The following inequality holds:*

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{9}(L(\{(p,p),(2p,0),(2p,p)\},t) + L(\{(p,p),(0,2p),(p,2p)\},t)) + \\ &2I(\{(p,2p),(2p,2p),(2p,p)\},t) > 0.\end{aligned} \tag{7.70}$$

Proof. Because of the symmetry,

$$L(\{(p,p),(2p,0),(2p,p)\},t) = L(\{(p,p),(0,2p),(p,2p)\},t)$$

, therefore, inequality (7.70) is equivalent to the following:

$$\frac{1}{9}L(\{(p,p),(2p,0),(2p,p)\},t) + I(\{(p,2p),(2p,2p),(2p,p)\},t) > 0 \tag{7.71}$$

Let us rewrite these sums as

$$L(\{(p,p),(2p,0),(2p,p)\},t) = \sum_{\substack{k,l=1\\k+l<p}}^{p-1} F_2(2p-k,p-l;t), \tag{7.72}$$

and

$$I(\{(0,3p),(p,3p),(p,2p)\},t) = \sum_{\substack{k,l=1\\k+l<p}}^{p-1} F_1(2p-k,2p-l;t), \tag{7.73}$$

and consider the ratio of negative summands from (7.73) to positive summands from (7.72) corresponding to the same (k,l):

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{|F_1(2p-k,2p-l;t)|}{F_2(2p-k,p-l;t)} < &\frac{|B(2p-l)A(4p-k-l)|}{-A(p-l)B(3p-k-l)} = \\ &f_1(x)\cdot f_2(z),\end{aligned} \tag{7.74}$$

where $x = l/p$, $z = (k+l)/p$, $x, z \in (0,1)$ and

$$f_1(x) = \frac{(2-x)^2}{4-x},$$
$$f_2(z) = \frac{1-z}{(3-z)^2}.$$

Since

$$f_1'(x) = -\frac{(6-x)(2-x)}{(4-x)^2} < 0,\ x \in (0,1),$$
$$f_2'(z) = -\frac{1+z}{(3-x)^3} < 0,\ z \in (0,1),$$

both functions decrease on $(0,1)$, therefore,

$$\frac{|F_1(2p-k,2p-l;t)|}{F_2(2p-k,p-l;t)} < f_1(x)f_2(z) < f_1(0)f_2(0) = \frac{1}{9},$$

which completes the proof of (7.71). □

Lemmas 7.11-7.11 imply

Corollary 7.2. *The following inequality is true:*

$$I(H,t) + L(\{(p,p),(2p,0),(2p,p)\},t) + L(\{(p,p),(0,2p),(p,2p)\},t) > 0. \quad (7.75)$$

where the set H is defined in (7.35).

Lemma 7.4 together with Corollaries 7.1 and 7.2 completes the proof of Theorems 7.1, 6.2 and 6.1.

In their turn, Theorems 5.1, 6.1 together with inequality (4.42) constitute the proof of Theorems 3.2 and 3.1.

References

[1] V.Barbu, I.Lasiecka, R.Triggiani, *Abstract setting of tangential boundary stabilization of Navier-Stokes equations by high-andlow-gain feedback controllers*, Nonlinear Analysis, 64:12 (2006), p.2704-2746.

[2] J.M. Coron, *On null asymptotic stabilization of the two-dimensional incompressible Euler equations in a simply connected domains*, SIAM J.Control Optim. 37:6 (1999), p.1874-1896.

[3] J.M. Coron, *Control and Nonlinearity*, Math.Surveys and Monographs, 136 AMS, Providence, RI, 2007.

[4] J.M.Coron, A.V.Fursikov *Global exact controllability of the 2D Navier-Stokes equations on manifold without boundary*-J.Russian Math. Phys. 4:3 (1996), p.1-20

[5] A.V.Fursikov, *On one semilinear parabolic equation of normal type*.-Proceeding volume "Mathematics and life sciences" De Gruyter v.1, 2012, p.147-160

[6] A.V.Fursikov, *The simplest semilinear parabolic equation of normal type*.-Mathematical Control and Related Fields(MCRF) v.2, N2, June 2012, p. 141-170

[7] A.V.Fursikov, *On the Normal Semilinear Parabolic Equations Corresponding to 3D Navier-Stokes System*.-D.Homberg and F.Troltzsch (Eds.): CSMO 2011, IFIP AICT 391, pp. 338-347, 2013

[8] A.V.Fursikov, *On parabolic system of normal type corresponding to 3D Helmholtz system*-Advances in Mathematical Analysis of PDEs. AMS Transl. Series 2, v.232 (2014), p.99-118

[9] A.V.Fursikov, *Stabilization of the simplest normal parabolic equation by starting control*.-Communications on pure and applied analysis v.13, N5, September 2014, p.1815-1854

[10] A.V.Fursikov, *Stabilization for the 3D Navier-Stokes system by feedback boundary control*. Discrete and Cont. Dyn. Syst., v.10, no 1&2, (2004), p.289-314.

[11] A.V.Fursikov, A.V.Gorshkov, *Certain questions of feedback stabilization for Navier-Stokes equations*.-Evolution equations and control theory (EECT), v.1, N1, 2012, p.109-140

[12] A.V.Fursikov, O.Yu Immanuvilov *Exact controllability of Navier-Stokes and Boussinesq equations*. Russian Math. Survveys, 54:3, 1999, p.565-618

[13] A.V.Fursikov, A.A.Kornev, *Feedback stabilization for Navier-Stokes equations: theory and calculations*.-"Mathematical Aspects of Fluid Mechanics"(LMS Lecture Notes Series),v.402, Cambridge University Press , 2012, p. 130-172

[14] A.V.Fursikov, L.S.Shatina, *On an estimate related to the stabilization on a normal parabolic equation by starting control*-Fundamental and applied mathematics 19:4 (2014) p.197-230 (in Russian)

[15] M.Krstic, *On global stabilizationof Burgers' equation by boundary control*, Systems of control letters, 37 (1999), p.123-141.

[16] J.-P.Raymond, *Feedback boundary stabilization of the three-dimensional incompressible Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 87:6 (2007), p.627-669.

[17] M.Tucsnk, G.Weiss, *Observation and Control for Operator Semigroups*, Birkhauser Verlag AG, Basel, Boston, Berlin, 2009.

[18] V.I.Yudovich,*Non-stationary flow of ideal incompressible fluid*, Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics **3** (1963), .1032-1066 (in Russian).

Department of Mechanics & Mathematics, Moscow State University, Moscow Russia 119991

Department of Mechanics & Mathematics, Moscow State University, Moscow